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INTRODUCTION

The meridional circulation of a subtropical gyre consists of intense western boundary currents flowing north, and relatively slow eastern currents flowing south. In the North Atlantic subtropical gyre the eastern current is named the Canary Current. In this paper we will be concerned with the easternmost branch of the Canary Current. In this paper we will be concerned with the easternmost branch of the Canary Current, which flows south between the Canary Archipelago and the African coast. This current flows along the African coast in the upper main thermocline and may be considered as the real eastern boundary current for the gyre.

It is fair to say that physical oceanographers have usually been more interested in studying the western boundary current that the eastern one. This is not surprising due to the intensity of that current. Modeling efforts by Luyten et al. (1983), Pedlosky (1983), and Huang (1989), however, started a real interest in the dynamics of the eastern boundary region, with the so-called ‘shadow zone’ and ‘eastern boundary ventilation’ concepts.

There has been some controversy about the way in which the Canary Current is fed. Some studies (e.g., Krauss and Wübber, 1982; Stramma, 1984; Stramma and Siedler, 1988; Fiekas et al., 1992; Navarro-Pérez and Barton, 2001) have suggested that the Canary Current is fed by the easternmost branch of the Azores Current, a current flowing eastward between 30ºN and 40ºN, and receives no contribution from the Portugal Current, a southward branch of the North Atlantic Current. However,
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other studies (e.g., Dietrich et al., 1980; Wunsch and Grant, 1982; Paillet and Mercier, 1997) have suggested that the Portugal Current does feed the Canary Current. Nevertheless, we have not found any study that has carried out measurements in the easternmost branch of the Canary Current. Several decades ago some research was carried out in order to understand the dynamics of the fisheries along the west coast of Africa. This was the overall aim of an international program called Cooperative Investigation of the Northern Part of the Eastern Central Atlantic (CINECA) in the 70’s. This program was principally focused on studying the dynamics of coastal upwelling off Northwest Africa (Hempel, 1982), and left the study of the Canary Current unattended.

The mesoscale eddy field in the Canary Current is dominated by the presence of the Canary islands in the path of this current and the influence of the upwelling system off Northwest Africa (Hernández-Guerra et al., 1993; Arístegui et al., 1994; Arístegui et al., 1997; Barton et al., 1998; Pacheco and Hernández-Guerra, 1999; Barton et al., 2001). The presence of the islands generates cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies downstream the islands, and the influence of the upwelling system consists of upwelling filaments that are entrained into the main current. Despite this, little effort has been made to investigate the intensity of the flux through the Canary Archipelago and between the archipelago and the African coast (however, see Navarro-Pérez and Barton, 2001).

In these study we analyze recent hydrographic data to describe the water masses in the region and to estimate the meridional water mass transport by the Canary Current, as well as to quantify the water mass transport in terms of the water masses.

DATA

The BIOCAN’98 Project focused on the study of the mesoscale circulation in the Canary Islands region. Within its framework we had the opportunity to carry out two CTD sections perpendicular to the main flow of the area. These sections had been carried out periodically on a bimonthly basis with eXpandable BathiThermographs (XBT) as part of the CANIGO project.

The data we will show on this occasion consist of 12 CTD stations carried out on board of the R/V Thalassa at a nominal spacing of 13 km from 19 to 21 September 1998 (Fig. 1). Stations extended to within 50 m of the bottom, which ranges from around 700 m on the west side to 300 m on the east side of each transect. Thus, we covered the whole transect length of each passage.

The CTD system consisted of a MARK IIIC having WOCE recommendations with a rosette G.O., 1015 with 24 water sampling bottles of 12 liters. Standard procedures for in situ calibration and data processing were applied to the data. The data sets are comparable to the potential temperature/salinity relations in the area.
WATER MASSES AND VERTICAL SECTIONS

In order to identify the water masses and to relate them with depths and neutral density values, we will show potential temperature/salinity diagrams and vertical sections of neutral density, temperature, and salinity. The neutral density algorithm of Jackett and McDougall (1996) has been used as the ‘density’ variable throughout this paper, and as a reference level to estimate the geostrophic current. Neutral density values and potential density values are very similar in the upper ocean, and the former can be used in the whole water column to avoid using different potential densities referenced to different depths.

Figures 2a and 2b show the potential temperature/salinity diagrams for the section between Gran Canaria and Fuerteventura (from now on, GC-F), and for the section between Lanzarote and the African coast (from now on, L-A). These figures show different water masses.

Figure 2 (surface waters, <100 m) shows high variability in potential temperature/salinity values. The low salinity values apparently correspond to upwelled waters spreading from the African coast.

Fig. 2. – Potential temperature/salinity diagrams for Gran Canaria-Fuerteventura section (a), and Lanzarote-African coast section (b).

Fig. 3. – Image of phytoplankton pigment concentrations from SeaWifs data corresponding to 16 September 1998. Notice the upwelling filament generated in the upwelling system off Northwest Africa skirting the east coast of Gran Canaria.
Figure 3, describing phytoplankton pigment concentration, clearly shows an upwelling filament stretching from the African coast and skirting the east coast of Gran Canaria Island. Stations located in the path of this upwelling filament have the smallest surface salinity values (around 36.5 as compared with salinity values around 36.8 at the other stations).

Figure 2 also shows the water masses corresponding to the North Atlantic Central Water (NACW). This is the portion of the potential temperature versus salinity diagram which shows less scatter, and forms the water mass for the main thermocline (Tomczak, 1981). The NACW is characterized by quasihorizontal isotherms and isohalines as observed in Figures 4 and 5.

Figure 2a shows a spreading of the temperature and salinity isolines that takes place at about 600 m depth and 27.3 kg m\(^{-3}\) neutral density value (around 12\(^\circ\)C in temperature and 35.7 in salinity). This is the end of the NACW and the start of the lower thermocline.

The lower thermocline is characterized by two intermediate water masses, Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW), detected by its fresh anomaly values (salinity values <35.3), and the warm, high salinity Mediterranean Water (MW) (salinity values ≥35.5) (see Fig. 2).
Figures 4 and 5, lower panels, show the relative salinity minimum and maximum, respectively, for both transects. Although these values present some scattering in depth, they are approximately located at 900 m and 1200 m depth, respectively, coinciding approximately with the neutral density value of 27.6 kg m$^{-3}$ for AAIW and 27.8 kg m$^{-3}$ for MW.

The AAIW is clearly observed in the whole L-A section (Fig. 5, lower panel), and in the eastern part of the GC-F section (Fig. 4, lower panel), as the tongue of fresh water centered at 800-900 m depth. Therefore, it appears that AAIW is transported northward by a current wider than the usual along-shore poleward undercurrent.

All deep enough stations in the GC-F section show MW, as inferred from the potential temperature/salinity diagrams (Fig. 2a). This MW is described as warm and salty water in the lower thermocline, as seen in the middle and lower panels of Figures 4 and 5 (Worthington, 1976; Käse et al., 1986; Reid, 1994; Arhan et al., 1994). Deeper than the MW, North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) is detected in the transect GC-F, the only one deep enough to allow for the existence of this water mass. Because of the small contribution made by these water masses and the coarse spatial resolution of our stations, we will center the following discussion on geostrophic velocity and transport in the NACW and in the AAIW water masses.
GEOSTROPHIC VELOCITIES AND TRANSPORT

We have computed the baroclinic part of the geostrophic current using the thermal wind equation. This equation requires a reference level, where the velocity is known, to start integration. Previous studies in the Canary Basin have used a range of 1200-1400 dbar as the level of no motion (Stramma, 1984; Siedler et al., 1985; Stramma and Siedler, 1988; Fiekas et al., 1992), but the L-A section is shallower than this depth range. Many other authors have assumed the depth of no motion to be at the level between two water masses flowing in opposite directions. This assumption has been recently debated: Transient eddies can mask the local mean flow and even filaments of reversed mean flow too narrow to carry clear tracer-properties signatures which may be embedded in the prevailing circulation. However, for this study we have used the water mass diagnostics, which we consider is the best available evidence for the region.

Two water masses flowing in opposite directions may be, in our case, the southward flowing NACW and the northward flowing AAIW. For our geostrophic calculations, therefore, we have taken the neutral density value of 27.3 kg m$^{-3}$ as the reference level, which approximately separates both water masses (see Figs. 4 and 5). In shallow areas, where the bottom neutral density is less than 27.3 kg m$^{-3}$ the ocean bottom has been used as the reference surface. Notice that the neutral density value of 27.3 kg m$^{-3}$ approximately coincides with the depth of 600 m throughout the region.

Figure 6 shows the geostrophic velocity in the GC-F section (upper panel) and in the L-A section (lower panel). Both sections show a main core of southward current in the upper 600 m and northward current in deeper layers. This corresponds to NACW flowing to the south and AAIW flowing to the north.

**Fig. 6.** Geostrophic current (cm s$^{-1}$) for the transect between Gran Canaria and Fuerteventura (upper panel), and for the transect between Lanzarote and Africa (lower panel). Southward velocity isolines are dashed. The western end is on the left.
Thus, we divide the following discussion of geostrophic velocities and mass transport into these two layers. In order to avoid including the MW as part of AAIW, however, we have also integrated the mass transport between 27.3 kg m\(^{-3}\) and 27.75 kg m\(^{-3}\).

**NACW**

Within the upper layer (<600 m), both sections indicate that the southward geostrophic current (>20 cm s\(^{-1}\)) is maximum at the surface and on the western side (Fig. 6). In the L-A section, this location approximately coincides with the highest velocities found from four moorings containing a total of 19 current meters installed in the passage between Lanzarote and the African coast (Müller, personal communication).

At the eastern side of both sections, a weak (5 cm s\(^{-1}\)) northward current may be observed. It has its core at about 300 m depth in the GC-F section, and at the surface in the L-A section. As seen in the phytoplankton pigment concentration image (Fig. 3), the circulation pattern in the surface waters (<100 m) of the Canary Current must be influenced by the entrainment of an upwelling filament.

Cyclonic and anticyclonic eddies are observed downstream of the Canary Islands during all seasons (Pacheco and Hernández-Guerra, 1999). Laboratory and numerical studies have indicated that the generation and shedding of eddies downstream an obstacle depends on the Reynolds number (Re) being higher than a critical value (Boyer, 1970; Boyer and Davies, 1982; Sangrá, 1995). Re is defined as Re = U L/A\(_h\), where U is the fluid velocity, L is a typical horizontal dimension of the obstacle, and A\(_h\) is the horizontal eddy viscosity coefficient of the fluid. All islands involved in this study have similar L (around 50 km). Taking A\(_h\) as a constant value (10\(^{-2}\) m\(^{2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), the only parameter to determine is the velocity of the fluid, in our case, the velocity of the Canary Current. Although the time variability of the velocity of the Canary Current remains to be determined, at least in September, the estimated velocity is higher than 20 cm s\(^{-1}\) and large enough to generate and shed eddies.

Figure 7 (upper panel) shows the accumulated mass transport for each section. The dashed line between station pairs 9-8 and 1-2 separates the transect GC-F from the transect L-A. The shallowest layer carries mainly NACW and presents a net southward mass transport of 1.7 x 10\(^9\) kg s\(^{-1}\) in the GC-F section, and 0.6 x 10\(^9\) kg s\(^{-1}\) in the L-A section. This gives a net southward mass transport of 2.3 x 10\(^9\) kg s\(^{-1}\). The strongest mass transport is at the station pair 11-10 in transect GC-F (1.0 x 10\(^9\) kg s\(^{-1}\), southward), and at the station pair 2-3 in transect L-A (0.9 x 10\(^9\) kg s\(^{-1}\), southward). The other station pairs give no appreciable contribution to the net mass transport and may be considered as mesoscale eddy variability of the main flow.

Ekman mass transport should be added to the above mass transport. The predominant winds in this region are the Trade Winds which blow southward. Thus, the Ekman mass transport is not expected to contribute significantly to this meridional net mass transport and has not been estimated.

Our results agree with the order of magnitude of the geostrophic mass transport of the Canary Current, as estimated from previous investigations. However, we have to take into account that those previous studies focused on the whole eastern boundary current system, and not on the easternmost branch.
Dietrich et al. (1980) estimated a volume transport of 14 x 10^6 kg s^{-1} between the Azores and Portugal above 1000 m depth, with 4 x 10^6 kg s^{-1} corresponding to the Portugal Current. Saunders (1982) and Stramma (1984) computed a transport of about 11 x 10^6 kg s^{-1} in the upper 1000 m between 35ºW and the African coast. According to Stramma (1984), most of the inflow of 11 x 10^6 kg s^{-1} turns to the south in the central east Atlantic, but a small amount (4-5 x 10^6 kg s^{-1}) reaches the near coastal region and feeds the Canary Current. Similarly, Käse et al. (1986) presented a map of temperature at 570 m showing a strong horizontal gradient near the Canary Islands indicating a volume transport of 8 x 10^6 kg s^{-1} above 800 m depth for the Canary Current. More recently, Arhan et al. (1994) and Paillet and Mercier (1997) estimated a value of 5-6 x 10^6 kg s^{-1} as the net volume transport of the whole Canary Current.

AAIW

Figure 6 shows that the deep layer (>600 m) has a higher velocity (about 10 cm s^{-1}) in the GC-F section (upper panel) than in the L-A section (about 3 cm s^{-1}). On the eastern side of both sections, a weak southward current of approximately 1 cm s^{-1} is observed.

Figure 7 (lower panel) shows that both sections have a northward mass transport in the layer below 600 m, with values of 0.9 x 10^9 kg s^{-1} in the GC-F section, and 0.2 x 10^9 kg s^{-1} in the L-A section. Thus, the net mass transport is 1.1 x 10^9 kg s^{-1} northward, and corresponds to AAIW. The strongest mass transport is at the station pair 11-10 in the transect GC-F (1.0 x 10^9 kg s^{-1}, southward), and at the station pair 3-4 in the transect L-A (0.3 x 10^9 kg s^{-1}, southward).

The classical picture of the spreading of AAIW from the South Atlantic to the North Atlantic comes from studies by Wüst (1935) and Iselin (1936). These and more recent works (Mann et al., 1973; Richardson, 1977; Reid, 1994) found AAIW in the whole Atlantic from 50ºS to 20ºN, and up to Cape Hatteras along the western boundary margin. These studies have used low salinity values at intermediate depths as an indicator of the AAIW circulation pattern. Tsuchiya (1989) used high concentration of silicate as a definition of AAIW and traced this water mass, as transported by the Gulf Stream-North Atlantic Current, as far as the south of Iceland. It is remarkable, however, that in none of these previous studies there is mention of AAIW as far north as 20ºN along the eastern boundary.

Roemmich and Wunsch (1985), however, showed the results of a transatlantic section starting at 28ºN in mid 1981. They described a northward current of low salinity waters in the eastern boundary at intermediate depths as far as 1000 km from the African coast, and velocities up to 0.5 cm s^{-1}.

Recently, Fratantoni and Richardson (1999) deployed a neutrally buoyant SOFAR float at depths of 950-1150 m in the Eastern Tropical Atlantic Ocean (approximately at 10ºN-25ºW). The float drifted northward and eastward upon reaching the 2000 m isobath west of Dakar (14ºN), where it rapidly drifted northward with speeds of 8 cm s^{-1}. The buoy then followed a trajectory parallel to, and within ~150 km of the eastern boundary until near 22ºN, where it drifted westward into the interior ocean. This was presumably caused by an eddy displacing the float offshore.

These previous results together with our evidence, point to the likely existence of an eastern boundary connection between the equatorial and subtropical Atlantic. This connection is particularly relevant to the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation because the northward return flow of surface and intermediate waters, as a result of the high-latitude deep water formation, has been assumed to occur only near the western boundary of the subtropical gyre.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have used a number of CTD sections in the eastern North Atlantic Subtropical Gyre with the objective of studying the water masses and circulation patterns in the easternmost branch of the Canary Current, what we believe constitutes the real eastern boundary current of the gyre.

The water masses fundamentally consisted of NACW, from the surface down to about 600 m depth (a neutral density of 27.3 kg m^{-3}), and AAIW, which forms a tongue about 500 m thick and 200 km wide (from the African coast almost as far as Gran Canaria Island).

At the time of the measurements the shallowest layer (<100 m deep) was influenced by an upwelling filament longer than 200 km starting off the African coast and skirting Gran Canaria Island, which is visible in satellite imagery.

The upper layer flow (<600m) consists essentially of NACW and presents strong southward velocities (>20 m s^{-1}), with some counter and undercur-
 rents. This velocity is large enough to generate and shed eddies downstream of the islands, being responsible for the strong mesoscale variability in the region.

The net mass transport for the upper layer was $2.3 \times 10^9$ kg s$^{-1}$ southward, similar to previous studies of the whole Canary Current. The mass transport is larger in the Gran Canaria-Fuerteventura passage ($1.7 \times 10^9$ kg s$^{-1}$) than in the Lanzarote-African coast passage ($0.6 \times 10^9$ kg s$^{-1}$). This is apparently related to the seasonal offshore displacement of the Canary Current (Stramma and Siedler, 1988).

The deeper layer (between approximately 600 m and 1100 m) corresponds to AAIW. This water mass flows north with maximum velocities higher than 10 cm s$^{-1}$ in the Gran Canaria-Fuerteventura passage and 3 cm s$^{-1}$ in the Lanzarote-African coast passage. The net mass transport was $1.1 \times 10^9$ kg s$^{-1}$, the contribution in the GCC-Passage being as much as $0.9 \times 10^9$ kg s$^{-1}$.

The northward current detected at intermediate depths along the African coast could follow the path described by Franttoni and Richardson (1999) along an Eastern Boundary Layer. This northward flow provides evidence of a possible eastern boundary connection between the equatorial and subtropical Atlantic, particular relevant to the Atlantic meridional overturning.
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