INTRODUCTION

Traditional studies stress that variable rates of recruitment are the primary regulators of abundance and distribution of many marine organisms (Thorson, 1950). Ecologists, especially those studying benthic communities, have concentrated primarily on interactions between adults inside communities, but dissatisfaction has arisen with explanations only in terms of competition, predation and physical factors (Giangrande et al. 1994). In the last decade more attention had been focused on recruitment and there has been a return of the idea (supply side ecology) that dynamics in adult populations are strongly related to arrival rates of larvae (Roughgarden et al. 1987). The importance of the control exerted by physical transport processes on population and community dynamics matching the effect of local processes as predation or competition has been stressed (Lewin, 1986).

Many aspects of short and long range dispersal have received attention from scientists and some authors propose that length of larval cycle directly influences the distribution of organisms: the longer the period the wider the distribution of organisms (Thorson, 1950; Mileikovsky, 1968; 1971; Scheltema, 1971). According to these authors, several different types of larval development can be found in marine bottom invertebrates:
- Direct, if development is in the eggs released in the environment: they are protected by egg capsules until they metamorphose; larval pelagic phase is absent;
- Viviparous, if complete development occurs in the body of parents; and
- Pelagic, if larvae develop in the plankton: this phase, dominant in benthic species, presents two sub-types:
  a) Lecithotrophic development: larvae feed during the pelagic stage on their own food reserves; and
  b) Planktotrophic development: larvae feed actively during pelagic life. This type of development can be short or very long; if larvae remain in the plankton for six weeks or less they are called actaeplanic, if they develop over a period exceeding two months, delaying settlement for 6-12 months they are called teleplanic (Scheltema, 1988).

According to Scheltema (1971) the probability of successful larval dispersal depends upon the drift coefficient, the advective component of transport, and the survival coefficient, the length of the planktonic (larval) phase.

This hypothesis has caused considerable discussion (Bhaud, 1998). Transoceanic transport of molluscs appears to agree to the general assumption that there is a direct relationship between length of larval period and geographical distribution of species (Scheltema, 1988) but some exceptions were found (Johannesson, 1988) and many factors were discovered influencing the distribution of species (Bhaud, 1983; 1993). Species with a short larval stage and apparently short dispersal distances are, nonetheless, widely distributed and populations can exhibit greater geographical range than expected from larval characteristics (Johannesson, 1988; Jamada, 1989).

The role of the larval phase for long distance transport was thus criticised and become to be considered less important. Species can also spread by other stages than larvae. For example, adults can survive in the water column where they are advected by water currents and where they play a crucial role in water column ecology (Madin et al. 1996). Rafting objects are a suitable substratum for transport (De Vantier, 1992) and byssus drifting is a common tool for many molluscs (Lane et al. 1985).

Studies of the dispersal of benthic invertebrates in the Mediterranean are rare (Thiriot-Quevivreux, 1969; Bouchet and Taviani, 1992; Harmelin and d’Hondt, 1992; Alani and Meloni, 1996; Pedrotti and Fenaux, 1996) and a simple checklist of the species transported by main Mediterranean currents is almost unknown (Astraldi et al. 1995; Alani et al. 1998).

This work is part of a long time project aimed at monitoring species migration in the western Mediterranean. Here we focus on the species transported by water masses from the Tyrrhenian Basin to the Ligurian Basin through the Corsica Channel (Western Mediterranean) that settle on current meter moorings. Current meter moorings have been regularly deployed at sea to collect physical data but fouling on these moorings was always considered a problem and previously the information provided by fouling species was lost by cleaning the meters.

We now propose to use these fouling communities to monitor species migration and identify the combined contribution of their dispersal strategies and water current variability in maintaining the isolation between the two basins.
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The Corsica Channel

The main connection from the Tyrrhenian Basin to the Ligurian-Provençal Basin is through a narrow passage about 100 km long ending in a bottle-neck passage between Corsica and Capraia Islands and separating the Island of Capraia and the Tuscan continental shelf from the Island of Corsica (Fig. 1). The Corsica Channel has, at its narrowest section, a surface width of 30 km and a maximum depth of about 460 meters. It is the only passage, which interest water masses of different origin being the limit between Modified Atlantic Water (MAW) and Intermediate Levantine Water (LIW) below the Tuscan continental shelf.

Southern waters that fill the northern Ligurian Basin occur in two main currents running along each side of Northern Corsica: the West Corsica Current (WCC) and the Tyrrhenian Current (TC) passing through the Corsica channel between Capraia and Corsica (Artale et al., 1994). The Tyrrhenian current mainly flows northward with strong intensities (Hopkins, 1988; Artale and Gasparini, 1990).

The Corsica Channel is also a biological boundary since it separates two different biogeographical regions. Flora and fauna in the northern region are characterised by a marked reduction in subropical elements and by the presence of species adapted to cool conditions that do not exist in the southern basin (Bianchi and Morri, 1994).

The Tyrrhenian current permits southern warm species to reach the Ligurian basin passing through the Corsica Channel (Astraldi et al. 1995) which can be considered a forced passage also for fauna exchanges. Although benthic fauna has been previously studied in this area (Albertelli et al., 1981; Emig, 1985; Balduzzi et al., 1986; Abbiati et al., 1991; Bianchi et al., 1993, Aliani, 1994; Aliani et al., 1995), the dispersal of species has not been studied in detail.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

An oceanographic mooring was positioned in the middle of the Corsica Channel. It was composed of four yellow Resinex syntactic foam buoys (Φ=60 cm), four RCM 7 Aanderaa current meters and two acoustic releasers (Fig. 2). Maximum depth was 430 meters and the top of the mooring was 50 m deep. Instruments were deployed on 11 June 1993 and removed on 28 December 1993. Current speed and direction were measured every 30 minutes.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Water current

Time series of current speed and direction show little variability in current direction as suggested by Astraldi and Gasparini (1992); there were stronger fluxes in winter and weaker currents in summer. The current through the Channel was mainly directed toward the northern basin such that the Corsica Channel can be considered as a one-way gate from the Tyrrhenian Sea towards the Ligurian-Provençal basin (Fig. 3).

Progressive diagrams (Fig. 4) show that transport during winter (up to 200 km/month in November) was mainly northward. There were small westward components suggesting water coming from the Tuscan side of the Channel and going towards North Corsica where the WCC flows toward the Ligurian coast.

Sampling stations for fauna were buoys (indicated with numbers), current meters and releasers (indicated with letters); all these items constituted the substratum for settlement. Macrobenthic specimens were collected by scraping all the organisms from buoys and current meters. Samples were fixed in 10% formaldehyde in seawater.

Fig. 2. – Cross section of the Corsica Channel between Cape Corse and Capraia Island.
During summer currents were weaker (100 km/month in August) with temporary local recirculation. The northern transport had a more eastward component with water coming from the Corsica side and directed more toward the Tuscan Continental Shelf than to the Ligurian circulation. The monthly averaged components of currents in North-South and East-West directions are reported in fig. 5.

The average intensities of the component of current transverse to the section of the Channel were about 6-7 cm s\(^{-1}\) toward the East in summer and 3 cm s\(^{-1}\) toward the West in winter.

Since the distances from the mooring to the coasts were about 10 and 20 km, we can calculate that it would take the propagules approximately 80 hours from the Corsica coast to the mooring in summer and about 90 days from the Capraia coast to the mooring in winter.

**Benthos**

Macrobenthic species settled on all the items in the moorings but greater abundance was found at the
shallower stations. The dominant species were the serpulid *Spirobranchus polytrema* and the mollusc *Anomia ephippium* found at stations A and B. Also common were the polychaete *Nereis irrorata* and the mollusc *Modiolarca subpicta*, whose specimens were included in small mucous and filamentous structures. A patchy mat of different species of hydroids covered almost all the instruments. Table 1 gives a semi-quantitative estimate of the abundance of species and some features of their dispersal strategies reported in literature.

Serpulids such as *Spirobranchus polytrema* release trochophores with weak swimming capability (maximum 5 mm/s). In the water column they become metatrochophores and feed in the plankton where they can survive up to eight weeks transported by currents. However, their length of life depends also on temperature, salinity and the amount of food (Bianchi, 1981). Serpulids can also settle on objects such as plants or plastics floating in the water; these rafting objects are an adequate substratum for colonisation of larvae and are a very important means of dispersal because they can be advected by currents for long periods.

The larvae of polychaetes of the family Nereididae have a very short pelagic life and are not often found in the plankton (Bhaud and Cazaux, 1988), but most species reproduce by the heteronereis stage that separates from the body of the worm and is transported away. The heteronereis stage is reported for both the species we found (Fauvel, 1923).

Dispersal of molluscs is widely studied and mainly focused on larvae (Thorson, 1950; Massé and Guérin, 1978; Johannesson, 1988; Scheltema, 1988; Martel and Chia, 1991; Bhaud, 1993) but other strategies have been found (Sigurdsson, 1976; Lane *et al.*, 1985; Martel and Chia, 1991). The bivalves *Modiolarca subpicta* and species of the family Anomiacea have typical byssus modifications that allow floating of post-larval specimens (Sigurdsson, 1976). The method of transport is analogous to the gossamer flight of young spiders. Sigurdsson called this dispersal method “byssus drifting”. All the specimens of *Modiolarca subpicta* we found were included in small mucous structures with trapped gas bubbles. It was not possible to identify whether this mucous was the byssus modification of young specimens suggested by Sigurdsson or a later mucous secretion, but this species had an unexpected potential floatation despite the heavy shell. Postmetamorphic drifting is a common strategy of dispersal (Martel and Chia, 1991) and even if it was not possible to identify the origin of these floating mucous structures, a dispersal strategy based upon an unexpected floatation was evidently present in the Corsica Channel.
In most Cnidarians the planula could be considered a larval dispersal phase but according to Boero and Bouillon (1987) it does not achieve the complexity implicit in this term and is only partly suitable for long range dispersal. Longer distances can be reached by medusa stages that are more complex phases of the life cycle and can be self-maintaining. Other dispersal strategies were reported for hydroids that can live on rafting objects (Cornelius, 1992) or as free living fragments floating in the water column (Madin et al., 1996).

The most common fouling species of cirripeds and ascidians were totally absent from these samples.
CONCLUSIONS

In the Corsica Channel the variability in current direction was low and flows were mainly directed toward the northern basin making this channel a one-way passage through the Tyrrhenian Sea and the Ligurian Sea. Only some small differences between summer and winter were found in the East-West components of current. These fluctuations are probably too small to affect the general fluxes of the mesoscale circulation but they may have some important biological implications if connected to length of life of dispersal strategies.

Apart from a few species, such as the very abundant Spirobranchus polytrema that has a planktonic life longer than 80 hours, most of the species found on the moorings have short planktonic lives and probably cannot survive in the water column for the 80-90 hours required to cover the distance to the mooring. Nevertheless, most of them are common to both basins.

Dispersal strategies based upon post-development processes, e.g. adults on rafting objects or free living fragments (Madin et al., 1996), are crucial to explain species distribution. Short larval phases are not a limiting factor for wide distribution. Species that commonly use also these strategies can be included in the widely spread “club of superwanderers” (Cornelius, 1992; Aliani et al., 1998). The pitfall of the assumption that dispersal potential based only from larval type or laboratory observations of the duration of the pelagic larval stage should be considered (Todd, 1998).

Only very few species with prolonged planktonic larvae were found. Remarkable amongst them is the Echinoid Arbaciella elegans. This species was found in the Southern basins of the Mediterranean Sea some time ago but some indications of northward migration were found along the Sicilian coasts (Giacobbe and Rinelli, 1992) and Sardinia coast (Solinas, 1989). After discovery in the Corsica Channel the species was then found for the first time on the Ligurian Coast close to Loano (Signorelli and Zamboni, 1998) confirming that its distribution had extended northward.

Warm water species entering the Ligurian Sea as suggested by Astraldi et al. (1993), and Arbaciella is only one example, pass through the “gate” of the Corsica Channel. The “gate” can be open or closed for migrations according to the variability of currents but also according to the dispersal strategies of species. Northward flux variability may have biogeographical relevance in maintaining the separation between the two basins because most fast transport takes place in winter when most of the “warm” species are in unfavourably cold conditions. Only a few species can pass the gate and find suitable habitat in northern warm oases where they settle (Bianchi and Morri, 1992).

Change in communities depends on direct effects of climatic parameters, e.g. temperature, which alter individual survival and interactions between species at a local scale but also indirectly at mesoscale by variability in water flow and current patterns (Southward, 1995). Temperature is the control factor for a reproducing population (Bouchet and Taviani, 1992; Bianchi, 1998) but the role of current variability at the boundary of the Ligurian Basin in controlling migration had been underestimated although borders are best witnesses of migrations between two biogeographical units (Angel, 1991).

As stressed by Barry and Dayton (1991), it is the existence of physical factors acting together with biotic relationships that controls the distribution of organisms. Nonetheless, although it is widely accepted that the contribution of dispersal forms from the water column is a source of potential variability (Lewin, 1986; Roughgarden et al., 1988; Boero, 1994), with biogeographic and climatological implications, studies concerning the coupling of physical and biological processes are still rare.
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