Flank stability and processes off the western Canary Islands: a review from El Hierro and La Palma*
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SUMMARY: The morphological characterisation of the western submarine island flanks of El Hierro and La Palma differentiates four type-zones that may give new insights into the evolution of oceanic island slopes. The different type-zones result from the interplay between constructive volcanic processes, hemipelagic settling and volcano collapses. The latter results in massive debris avalanche deposits, which form large volcaniclastic aprons. In most cases, the headwall scars are clearly exposed on the emerged part of the islands. The events that occurred in the youngest and westernmost islands of El Hierro and La Palma have vertical runouts exceeding 6,000 m and volumes that can reach several hundred km³. The landslide frequency for the entire Canaries is one major event per 90 ka. Triggering mechanisms are closely related to magmatic processes. The increase in the shear stress is directly linked with the forceful intrusion of magma along “ridge-rift” systems, while in the western Canary Islands it seems that the main process reducing shear resistance may be related to the rise in pore pressure due to hydrothermal circulation.
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INTRODUCTION

Several debris avalanches involving large volumes of volcaniclastic material have recently been discovered on the submarine slopes of the Canary Islands (Watts and Masson, 1995, 1998; Masson, 1996; Urgeles et al., 1997, 1999b; Funck and Schminke, 1998) (Fig. 1). Relatively old buried debris avalanches have been described off Gran Canaria by Funck and Schminke (1998), while the largest debris avalanche complex has been found off north Tenerife covering an area of 5,500 km² with an estimated volume of about 1,000 km³ (Watts and Masson, 1995). Three large debris avalanches appear to have occurred on the island of El Hierro: El Golfo, on the northwest flank, El Julán, on the southwest flank, and Las Playas, on the southeast flank. El Golfo covers 2,600 km² of the sea-floor and involves 180 km³ of mobilized debris (Urgeles et al., 1997), El Julán covers 900 km² and is 100 km³ in volume (Holcomb and Searle, 1991). The western flank of La Palma is affected by up to four debris avalanches, giving an overall volume of 750 km³ (Urgeles et al., 1999b). Our own limited swath bathymetry data suggest that the northern and eastern flanks of La Palma are also probably affected by landslides that still remain undescribed. Hence, debris avalanche deposits seem to cover considerable portions of the seafloor surrounding the Canary Islands, as also occurs...
around the Hawaiian Archipelago, where half of the perimeter of the volcanic ridge is capped by such deposits (Moore et al., 1994).

In the Canaries, there are good examples of large amphitheatre-shaped scarps and internal discor-dances that, together with the so-called mortalon deposits (a sort of volcanlastic, plastic breccia), have been related to the giant landslides (Fúster et al., 1993; Ancochea et al., 1994; Carracedo, 1994). Such structures have been known for a long time (Hausen, 1961, 1969, 1973; Ridley, 1971; Bravo, 1962, 1982; Navarro and Coello, 1989), but they were not clearly explained until Holcomb and Searle (1991) first related them to huge submarine debris avalanches on the island flanks.

This article reviews general features of the giant Canarian landslides, with special emphasis on the submarine flanks of the two westernmost Canary Islands, El Hierro and La Palma. The main features of the landslides observed in the two islands are described, with criteria for their identification. The article provides relevant information to estimate the significance of such processes in the overall evolution of the islands and the adjacent basin. Finally, the paper furnishes age constraints and suggests triggering mechanisms for individual landslides. The work is based on data from state-of-the-art submarine geophysical techniques, including Simrad EM-12S multibeam bathymetry (Keeton and Searle, 1996), TOBI (Towed Ocean Bottom Instrument) deep-towed side-scan sonar (Flewellen et al., 1993), high- and ultrahigh-resolution seismic reflection profiles, and PUPPI (Pop Up Pore Pressure Instrument) pore pressure measurements (Schultheiss, 1989).

THE GEOLOGICAL SETTING OF THE CANARY ISLANDS AND BASIN

Including both the subaerial and submarine parts, the Canary Basin covers 3.36 x 10^6 km². It is bounded by the eastern flank of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge to the west, by the Açores-Gibraltar rise to the north, by the Madeira-Tore rise to the northeast, by the Cabo Verde rise to the south, and by the Atlas mountain range to the east. The Canary Islands are located near the centre of the basin, whose deepest point (5,300 m water depth) is located in the Madeira Abyssal Plain (MAP). The Canary Archipelago consists of seven major islands, roughly oriented E-W (Fig. 1). The most outstanding subaerial feature is the 3,700 m high Teide volcano, on the island of Tenerife, which is the third highest oceanic volcano on Earth after Mauna Kea and Mauna Loa in Hawaii.

Fig. 1. – Giant landslides in the Canary Basin. 1) Canary debris flow (17-10 ka); 2) Saharan debris flow (15 ka); 3) El Golfo debris avalanche (136-21 ka); 4) El Julán debris avalanche (160 ka); 5) Las Playas debris avalanche (250 ka); 6) Cumbre Nueva debris avalanche (536 – 135 ka); 7) Playa de la Veta debris avalanche complex (1 Ma -0.8 Ma); 8) Teno debris avalanche (>0.5 Ma); 9) Icod debris avalanche (~0.17 Ma); 10) La Orotava debris avalanche (0.65-0.35 Ma); 11) Anaga debris avalanche (>0.5 Ma); 12) Güimar debris avalanche (0.69-? Ma); and Gran Canària debris avalanches, 13) 12-14 Ma; 14) 14 Ma; 15) >14 Ma; 16) 3.5 Ma (Data from several authors cited in the text body). Location of neighbouring ODP/DSDP drill sites is also shown.
Results from the DSDP drill site 397, 100 km SSE of Gran Canaria, demonstrate that volcaniclastic flows entered the Canary Basin at about 17 Ma BP. These flows would reflect the rapid growth of the island of Fuerteventura during the shield phase (von Rad et al., 1979; Schminke, 1990). North and south of Gran Canaria, the drilling of the island apron (ODP drill sites 953 to 956) showed that all the evolutionary phases of the island, both volcanic and non-volcanic, are present in the marine record (ODP Leg 157 Shipboard Scientific Party, 1995). The pattern of sediment influx observed west of the islands of El Hierro and La Palma indicates that the migrating volcanism controls the sedimentary processes and the location of volcaniclastic depocentres (Urgeles et al., 1998). In the MAP, a dense grid of seismic reflection profiles shows three main seismostratigraphic units. The lower unit is mainly made of pelagic sediments, whereas the intermediate and upper units are dominated by turbidites (Duin et al., 1984; Searle, 1987). ODP drill sites 950, 951 and 952 in the MAP show that the first volcaniclastic flows reached this western part of the Canary Basin only 6.5 Ma ago (ODP Leg 157 Shipboard Scientific Party, 1995), but older flows had already been reaching the areas of the basin west of El Hierro and La Palma since 17 Ma (von Rad et al., 1979; Schminke, 1990).

The Canary Islands are built on the passive continental slope and rise of northwest Africa. The eastern islands of Lanzarote and Fuerteventura overlie a transitional crust, which at some time in the past was probably oceanic (Roeser et al., 1971), whereas the central and western islands of Gran Canaria, Tenerife, La Gomera, La Palma and El Hierro lie on oceanic crust (Rothe and Schminke, 1968). This crust is fractured by two main fault systems: a NW-ENE oriented Atlantic system, and an ENE-WSW to NNE-SSW oriented system related to the Atlas Range (Mezcúa et al., 1991).

It is generally accepted that the Canary Islands resulted from a mantle plume, as suggested by the westward decrease in the age of each individual island. This would indicate an eastward movement over a hot spot of the lithospheric plate supporting the islands. The age of the shield phase of the various islands ranges from 20.7 Ma for the easternmost island of Lanzarote (Schminke, 1990) to only 1.12 Ma for the westernmost island of El Hierro (Guillou et al., 1996). However, according to Watts (1994), the hot spot theory does not provide satisfactory explanations for (i) the absence of parallelism between the Canary Ridge and the absolute direction of migration of the African plate; (ii) the lack of an intraplate topographic swell, as exists in Hawaii; and (iii) the absence of a long wavelength gravity peak. Nevertheless, seismic reflection and gravity data presented by Ranero et al. (1995), Canales and Dañobeitia (1998) and Urgeles et al. (1998) reveal a moderate swell centred in the western islands.

Holik and Rabinowitz (1991) interpreted a seismic high velocity layer with chaotic facies between 31°N and 33°N as indicative of the hot spot trace between 50 and 60 Ma ago. Their data suggest a progression of the Canary hot spot from the northeast to the southwest. Rhim et al. (1998) suggested that a group of seamounts, Las Hijas, located southwest of El Hierro Island might represent the propagation of the Canary volcanism following that direction. In contrast, Carracedo et al. (1998) suggests that the distribution of the volcanism associated with the Canary hot spot was initially controlled by sediment thickness, the position of the continental-oceanic crust boundary, and the slow movement of the African plate. According to these authors, the thick sedimentary sequence of the Northwest African margin would have been the most favourable initial location for the first Canarian volcanism, which would later have propagated northeast and southwest along the weak transitional zone, dividing the continental from the oceanic crust. Carracedo et al. (1998) postulate that following this initial phase, the hot spot started the slow westward migration to follow the general E-W trend of the islands.

GEOLOGICAL BACKGROUND ONSHORE EL HIERRO AND LA PALMA

El Hierro and La Palma, the westernmost Canary Islands, have a similar history of building of successive volcanic edifices and destruction by giant landslides (Fig. 2). On El Hierro three large volcanic edifices have been identified which appear dislocated by subsequent giant landslides (Carracedo et al., 1995; Guillou et al., 1996). Those are the volcanic edifices of El Tiñor (1.12-0.88 Ma), El Golfo (545-176 ka), and Frontera (37 ka - present).

In the same way as El Hierro, La Palma was formed by successive volcanic edifices, subsequently truncated by large lateral collapses (Ancochea et al., 1994). A distinctive geological feature of La Palma is its basal complex, constituted by submarine extrusive and plutonic rocks cut by several dyke
swarms, whose age is 4 to 3 Ma (Gee et al., 1993). Above this, three subaerial volcanic edifices developed as in El Hierro. The oldest one is the Taburiente volcano, in the northern part of the island, which is divided into two piles by a conspicuous internal discordance, attributed to a major landslide followed by a period of magmatic quiescence (Aancochea et al., 1994). During the latest stage in the development of the Taburiente volcano, the activity shifted to the south forming the Cumbre Nueva Ridge (Carracedo et al., 1999). Together, the Taburiente-Cumbre Nueva volcanic edifices were formed between 1.7 Ma and 560 ka ago (Wijbrans et al., 1997; Carracedo et al., 1999). The Taburiente-Cumbre Nueva edifices finally collapsed, probably leading to the formation of the Bejenado volcano. After a new period of magmatic quiescence, volcanic activity shifted again to the south with the eruption of the most recent lavas, which constitute the Cumbre Vieja Ridge, the prominent southern ridge along which most of the historical volcanism is concentrated (Carracedo et al., 1999).
SUBMARINE EVIDENCES OF LARGE SCALE MASS-WASTING IN THE CANARY ISLANDS OF EL HIERRO AND LA PALMA

Geomorphology and acoustic character of the submarine-subaerial island flanks

Combined swath bathymetry and onshore topographic data allow to distinguish four main physiographic type-zones on the flanks of El Hierro and La Palma (Fig. 3).

(1) The first type-zone stretches out west of 18°25’W. The relief is smooth and lacks distinct highs. Mean slope gradients are less than 1°. North of 28°30’N, NNE-SSW oriented lineations with a topographic expression of a few metres can be observed.

(2) The second type-zone extends south of the Cumbre Vieja Ridge, off the island of La Palma and south of El Hierro southern ridge. It shows average slope gradients of between 12° and 14°, and rough topography with volcanic cones standing 300 to 400 m high above the surrounding sea-floor.

(3) The third type-zone lies seaward of El Hierro’s north-eastern and western ridges, and offshore north of the Taburiente volcano, on La Palma. The

![Fig. 3. – Bathymetry and topography of the islands of El Hierro and La Palma indicating the geomorphological type-zones division of their flanks. Upper left insert shows coverage of data used in this article. Arrows show PUPPI sites, bold lines show airgun seismic reflection profiles, thin lines are TOPAS parametric source and conventional 3.5 kHz profiles, dashed area is swath bathymetry coverage.](image)
topography here is uneven and mean slopes are about 10°. A poorly-developed submarine sediment drainage system can be identified from swath bathymetry data (Urgeles et al., 1999b), as well as a set of volcanic cones 200-500 m high, some of which occur on well-defined NW-SE trends.

(4) The fourth type-zone is located seaward of the 14 km diameter El Golfo embayment, on El Hierro, and west of the Cumbre Nueva Arc and Caldera de Taburiente, on La Palma. Continuity in the submarine environment of the subaerial scarps surrounding El Golfo has been clearly demonstrated on the island of El Hierro (Urgeles et al., 1997). However, on La Palma, the offshore continuity of the subaerial scarps of the Caldera de Taburiente and Cumbre Nueva Arc is less evident (Urgeles et al., 1999b) (Fig. 4). In both cases, it has been shown that the subaerial or submarine escarpments, evolve into large aprons extending seaward to about 70 km from the islands. The relief is in general smoother than in type-zones two and three, with mean slopes ranging between 4° and 5°. On La Palma, this type-zone includes a well-developed drainage network, which consists of flat-bottom channels up to 2.5 km wide. On the distalmost sectors off El Hierro and La Palma, numerous hummocks up to 300 m high and 2 to 2.5 km in diameter are present, showing noteworthy similarities to those described on the base of other volcanic islands, such as Hawaii and La Reunion (Moore et al., 1995; Lenat et al., 1989).

The EM-12S backscatter strength is usually low within type-zone one, although subtle lineations can be seen, and it is high where volcanic rocks outcrop (type-zones two and three) and also in the channels occurring within zone four. Backscatter also shows a speckled pattern in the most distal sectors of type-zone four. The higher resolution of TOBI data clears up the features observed on the EM-12S data. Hence, the hummocks within type-zone four corresponding to the speckled pattern observed on the backscatter data actually correspond to large volcanic blocks capped to different degrees by pelagic sediments (Masson, 1996; Urgeles et al., 1999b). Airgun seismic reflection profiles allow the subsurface geology to be explored down to the acoustic basement in some sectors. The records show a stratified sequence over most of the study area, with the exception of the large aprons in type-zone four, where seismic facies are transparent or hyperbolic (Urgeles et al., 1997, 1999b).

**Hints on mass-wasting processes**

From the different features observed in the geomorphological-geophysical data, the different processes acting on each type-zone can be deduced. Type-zone one is dominated by hemipelagic deposition. The southern continuation of the young Cumbre Vieja Ridge, type-zone two, seems to result mostly from volcanic eruptive processes. Morphological and age differences between type-zones two and three seem to indicate that the latter results from the interplay between submarine volcanic constructive processes and small-scale mass-wasting processes (Urgeles et al., 1999b), although similar reliefs have been interpreted to have been formed in
subaerial conditions, later being drowned by subsidence (see Watts and Masson, 1995). Thus, La Palma provides a good example to understand the evolution of ocean island submarine slopes.

The most striking features of the western submarine flanks of La Palma and El Hierro appear within type-zone four. The large aprons spotted with huge volcanic blocks (Fig. 4), together with the acoustic characteristics observed in seismic reflection profiles, all seem to indicate that large-scale instability events in the form of debris avalanches are the source of such features. As observed on airgun seismic reflection profiles, the surface hyperbolae and chaotic or transparent internal structure of these aprons supports the idea that their internal structure is largely chaotic.

In addition to the large lateral collapses on the islands’ flanks, which take the form of debris avalanches, the TOPAS and 3.5 kHz high resolution profiles and the TOBI data indicate that large landslides also occur on the continental rise at the foot of the islands. These deposits are shown as transparent masses or as sets of opaque response on the high-resolution profiler records. TOBI sonographs show more or less convoluted lineations, which may correspond to flow lines within the landslide mass, and/or to sets of scarps in the headwall area (Masson et al., 1997). The deposits that originated from these landslides are interpreted to be debris flows. They lack a single, well-defined headwall scarp, which is here substituted by several sets of small scarps extending over broad areas. The debris flows only involve the first tens of metres of the fine-grain sediment cover but can achieve volumes even larger than those of debris avalanches (Masson et al., 1992).

Significance of mass-wasting events on the flanks of El Hierro and La Palma

Large debris avalanches and debris flows in the Canary Basin are catastrophic events. The debris avalanches were initiated at 1,500 m above sea level (asl) and 2,400 m asl on El Hierro and La Palma respectively (top of the headwall scarps), and the resulting submarine deposits are found at 4,100 m depth. This means that vertical runouts may reach 7,000 m. The headwall scarps themselves may exceed 1,000 m, and the resulting deposits may reach 500 m in thickness, with massive volcanic blocks up to 300 m in height and 2 km in diameter. The volumes involved in such processes are also extraordinary: 95 km$^3$ in the Cumbre Nueva debris avalanche on La Palma; 150-180 km$^3$ in the El Golfo debris avalanche on El Hierro; and 650 km$^3$ in the Playa de la Veta debris avalanche complex, again in La Palma (Urgeles et al., 1997, 1999b). The volumes involved in the landslides represent significant parts of the total submarine and subaerial volcanic edifices. For example, Urgeles et al. (1997) estimate that in total the debris avalanches on the flanks of El Hierro removed 13% of the edifice. The north-west facing El Golfo debris avalanche itself removed a quarter of the subaerial El Hierro.

Age of the landslides

The age of the different landslide events can be estimated from the age of the well-dated onshore rocks on La Palma (Ancochea et al., 1994; Guillou et al., 1997) and El Hierro (Guillou et al., 1996). The lavas and other deposits fossilising the scars provide a minimum age for the landslides, whereas the rocks that have been bisected by the landslide headwalls provide a maximum age estimate (Fig. 5). Radiometric dating of the volcanic rocks associated with the El Golfo debris avalanche has provided a controversial (see Masson, 1996) age range of 136-21 ka (Guillou et al., 1996). On La Palma, the Cumbre Nueva debris avalanche is inferred from radiometric data to have occurred between 536 and 125 ka ago (Guillou et al., 1997). One of the landslides that forms part of the Playa de la Veta debris avalanche complex would have occurred, according to paleomagnetic data, between 1 Ma and 800 ka ago (J.C. Carracedo and S. Day, pers. comm., 1998). The major Canarian debris avalanches (Fig. 5) quoted in several references (Holcomb and Searle, 1991; Füster et al., 1993; Ancochea et al., 1994; Watts and Masson, 1995, 1998; Masson, 1996; Urgeles et al., 1997, 1999b; Funck and Schmincke, 1998) suggest that the landslide frequency during the last million years has involved one major event every 90 ka for the entire Canary archipelago.

Landslide deposits and rise sedimentation

Seismic reflection profiles (Urgeles et al., 1998) indicate that the base-of-slope sectors adjacent to the island flanks are largely filled with products derived from mass-wasting processes. These profiles located at the western tip of the volcanic ridge show three main seismic units (Urgeles et al., 1998). From bottom to top these are: 1, a unit constituted mostly by pelagic sediments and turbidites derived from the
Northwest African margin; 2, a unit with volcani-
clastic turbidites superimposed on the background 
sedimentation constituted by 1; and 3, a third unit 
with composition similar to 2 but displaying lobular 
morphologies, which evolves proximally into the 
large debris avalanche deposits (Fig. 6).

Debris avalanches are the main process driving 
the volcanic material from the island flanks to the 
adjacent rise and finally to the MAP. However, 
minor landslides involving volcanic material also 
take place on the islands’ flanks. Transformation of 
both minor and large-scale events into debris flows 
and turbidity currents effectively distributes the sed-
iment throughout the rise and abyssal plain, which is 
especially evident in units 2 and 3 (Fig. 6). For 
example, volcaniclastic turbidites derived from the 
flanks of the Canary Islands are found in the MAP 
more than 1,000 km from source (Pearce and Jarvis., 
1992; Rothwell et al., 1992; Weaver et al., 1992; 
Masson, 1996; Alibés et al., 1999).

Nevertheless, the occurrence of the giant debris 
avanches on the islands’ flanks may control the sub-
sequent sediment transport pathways and distribution 

Fig. 5. – Age of debris avalanches for all Canary Islands (Tenerife, La Palma, El Hierro, and Gran Canaria) versus age of the main shield 
phase. Ages surrounded by dashed ovals are landslides inferred from volcanic stratigraphy of the islands. The insert shows the ratio of sub-
aerial/total volume of the Canary Islands against the age of the main shield phase [modified from Schmincke, 1990]. LP, La Palma; Hi, 
Hierro; Go, Gomera; Te, Tenerife; GC, Gran Canaria; L, Lanzarote; F, Fuerteventura.
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patterns. Urgeles et al. (1999b) observe that the failed 
areas of the western flanks of La Palma have devel-
oped a network of characteristic flat-bottom, low 
axial slope gradient, 2-2.5 km wide channels. These 
channels parallel the boundaries of the depositional 
lobes formed by successive debris avalanches. As 
interpreted from backscatter data, these channels trap 
the coarser sediment fraction of episodic gravity 
flows that occurred after the main avalanche events, 
whereas the finer material is washed down (Urgeles et 
al., 1999b). In contrast, the flanks not affected by the 
giant failures have narrower and steeper channels 
without a distinct high backscatter, which allows us to 
infer that both the coarse and fine sediment fractions 
are transported mixed to deeper areas.

Triggering mechanisms

Several studies relate the volcanic rifts (generally 
evident as prominent ridges) to the lateral col-
lapse of large volcano sectors (Siebert, 1984; Car-
racedo, 1994; Moore et al., 1989, 1994; Urgeles et 
al., 1997). Voight and Elsworth (1997) have pointed
out that dyke intrusion parallel to the ridge axis can significantly contribute to the configuration of the stress field on the volcanic edifice. The scar of El Golfo, on El Hierro, as well as the Cumbre Nueva landslide scar, on La Palma, are oriented normal to the axes of the ridges, which reinforces the idea that dyke intrusion along the ridges has played a fundamental role in landslide initiation.

It should also be recognised, however, that additional mechanisms capable of reducing the shear resistance along the basal plane are needed for the large debris avalanches to occur (Elsworth and Voight, 1995; Voight and Elsworth, 1997). Otherwise, it would have been highly unlikely that landslides the size of El Golfo or Cumbre Nueva would take place. One of the potential mechanisms that has
been investigated is excess pore pressure (Urgeles et al., 1999a). Data recorded with PUPPI apparatus on the sediments of the rise west of La Palma provide evidence of pore pressures and fluid circulation which can be interpreted to result from hydrothermal circulation. Estimated ambient pore pressures mostly show negative values (between -10 and -70 Pa) (Fig. 7), which would indicate a downward fluid flow in the range of 0 to –0.3 mm y⁻¹, given the low permeabilities and high compressibilities of these sediments. The ambient pore pressure distribution on the sediments indicates a complex fluid circulation pattern through the sediment layer. Nevertheless, a prevailing downward fluid flow is observed, which favours the stability of the sediments there. However, as a result of hydrothermal circulation, excess pore pressures can be induced on the most proximal island flanks, where fluid flow is hypothesised to occur upwards, therefore reducing shear resistance.
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