INTRODUCTION

Cryptomonad flagellates (Cryptophyceae = Cryptomonad) are widespread and abundant in the sea, particularly in the pelagic environment (Haigh et al., 1992), where photosynthetic forms may be responsible for a large part of primary carbon production (Robinson et al., 1999). However, very few ecological surveys of marine plankton have attempted to identify cryptomonads down to the genus or species levels. Perhaps this is partly due to the state of flux of cryptomonad systematics.

SUMMARY: This paper is an electron microscopical account of cryptomonad flagellates (Cryptophyceae = Cryptomonada) in the plankton of the western Mediterranean Sea. Bottle samples collected during the spring-summer of 1998 in the Sea of Alboran and Barcelona coastal waters contained a total of eleven photosynthetic species: *Chroomonas* (sensu auctorum) sp., *Cryptochloris* sp., 3 species of *Hemiselmis*, 3 species of *Plagioselmis* including *Plagioselmis nordica* nov./sp. nov., *Rhinomonas reticulata* (Lucas) Novarino, *Teleaulax acuta* (Butcher) Hill, and *Teleaulax amphioxeia* (Conrad) Hill. Identification was based largely on cell surface features, as revealed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Cells were either dispersed in the water-column or associated with suspended particulate matter (SPM). *Plagioselmis prolonga* was the most common species both in the water-column and in association with SPM, suggesting that it might be a key primary producer of carbon. Taxonomic keys are given based on SEM.
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(Novarino and Lucas, 1993a, 1995, Clay et al., 1999), and the consequent scarcity of firmly established taxonomic criteria and up-to-date identification guides. Nonetheless, there are several reasons why it is important to identify specimens as far as possible:

Cryptomonad diversity may be far from having been described in full. The total number of species has been estimated at about 1200, i.e. six times more than are currently known (Andersen, 1992). Therefore, it is always possible that environmental samples will contain previously undescribed taxa, perhaps with novel morphological, ecological, physiological or other features of interest within the context of cryptomonad systematics and phylogeny. Furthermore, our knowledge of how diversity varies in relation to geographical and environmental variability is still scant, and the possibility of addressing these questions depends entirely on the ability to recognize species in the first place.

In coastal areas, cryptomonads may form nuisance blooms (Andreoli et al., 1986; Dame et al., 2000). Sound taxonomic identifications are necessary to any modelling studies of such blooms if the models are to be sufficiently predictive.

There is evidence that freshwater cryptomonad species may differ considerably from one another in their physiological and ecological characteristics, and therefore they may occupy distinct, well-defined ecological niches (Sommer, 1992; Gervais, 1997). Although there is scant information on the subject in marine environments, a priori it cannot be excluded that the situation is analogous to that in freshwaters. Here again, the ability to address this sort of question will depend on the availability of reliable taxonomic identifications.

This paper is the second in a series on the diversity and ecological significance of nanoplankton protists (mostly flagellates excluding coccolithophorids, and naked ciliates) in the western Mediterranean Sea (Novarino et al., 2002). It is a first attempt at investigating cryptomonad diversity in the Mediterranean using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), which provides much taxonomic information (e.g. Novarino, 2003, and references therein). What little is known on Mediterranean cryptomonads derives mostly from an early study on the Adriatic Sea phytoplankton (Schiller, 1925) where six species (all newly described and hardly reported since) were found: *Cryptochloris vittata* Schiller, *Cryptomonas adriatica* Schiller, *Hillea fusiformis* Schiller, *Rhodomonas caerulea* Schiller, *R. gracilis* Schiller and *R. ruttneri* Schiller. More recently, other cryptomonad species have been reported during ecological investigations on the Mediterranean plankton, including studies carried out in the western region (Margalef, 1969), but with very few exceptions they have not been identified to the genus or species levels. Using electron microscopy, a bloom-forming cryptomonad in the Adriatic was tentatively identified as a member of the genus *Chroomonas* (Andreoli et al., 1986; more recently it was also argued that this cryptomonad may be a species of *Plagioselmis*: Novarino et al., 1994). Finally, in an atlas of Mediterranean phytoplankton there is an SEM micrograph of a cryptomonad (*Cryptomonas* sp.: Delgado and Fortuño, 1991).

**MATERIAL and METHODS**

**Sampling**

About 60 samples collected from the Sea of Alboran and Barcelona coastal waters (Llobregat-Besós transect and time-series samples) were processed and examined using field-emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM) as described elsewhere (Novarino et al., 2002). Measurements were taken on enlarged photographic prints of Lugol-fixed cells. The terms “length”, “width” and “thickness”, as applied to cryptomonad cells, refer to the length of the longitudinal, periphery, and dorso-ventral axes respectively; when the value of the cell width is not specified in the descriptions, the width is very similar or equal to the cell thickness. When a cryptomonad cell is seen from the ventral face, the “right” and “left” sides of the cell are to the left- and right-hand sides of the observer respectively. The expression “size of the periplast plates”, where applicable, refers to the length of the sides of the hexagonal or rectangular periplast plates. “Known geographical distributions” only include records based on electron microscopy. Cryptomonads are ambireginal protists, and therefore they fall under the dual jurisdiction of the botanical (ICBN) and the zoological (ICZN) Codes of Nomenclature (Novarino and Lucas, 1993a, 1995).

**Taxonomic identification criteria**

Traditionally, cryptomonad taxonomy, systematics and identification have been elusive because the
early taxonomic descriptions were based necessarily on the small number of characters visible using light microscopy (e.g. cell shape and size, length of flagella, colour and number of chloroplasts, presence or absence of pyrenoids). During the last three or four decades electron microscopy has revealed a wealth of previously undescribed cellular features, many of which have been included in a comprehensive review of characters of possible taxonomic value within the cryptomonads (Klaveness, 1985). Several ultrastructural characters have been used for describing new genera and species, and amending existing generic diagnoses (Hill and Wetherbee, 1986, 1988, 1989, 1990; Hill, 1991a; Novarino, 1991a; Hill, 1991b; Novarino, 1991b; Novarino and Lucas, 1993a; Novarino et al., 1994; Kugrens et al., 1999). Subsequently, two attempts have been made to erect formal classification systems of the cryptomonads based on ultrastructural characters (Novarino and Lucas, 1993a, 1995; Clay et al., 1999). More recently, molecular sequence data have been used to infer the phylogenetic relationships of the group and those within the group itself (McFadden et al., 1994; Cavalier-Smith et al., 1996; Marin et al., 1998; Hoef-Emden et al., 2002).

There is still some discussion about which ultrastructural characters are taxonomically significant, and at what level. The list below (based on Novarino, 2003) summarises a number of characters which are visible using SEM and are accorded a taxonomic value at the generic and/or specific levels by various workers. However, in spite of its great usefulness SEM does not make it possible to identify conclusively all known cryptomonad species because further information might be necessary on the identity of the accessory photosynthetic phycobilin pigment, the detailed architecture of the periplast (as revealed by freeze-fracture/etch methods) and the internal cell ultrastructure, especially the position of the nucleomorph (as revealed by transmission electron microscopy).

**Cell size and shape.** Strictly speaking these are not “ultrastructural” characters, but SEM makes it possible to observe the cell shape much more accurately than is possible using light microscopy alone. This is important because cell shape parameters may be taxonomically significant at the species level, e.g. shape of the cell apex and antapex, dorso-ventral or lateral compression, and torsion or curvature of the cell along its longitudinal axis (Hill and Wetherbee, 1986, 1988, 1989; Hill, 1991a; Novarino, 1991a; Hill, 1991b; Novarino, 1991b; Gervais, 1997; Kugrens et al., 1999). The SEM also makes it possible to measure specimens very accurately. Shrinkage (up to about 25% linear) may occur during specimen preparation for SEM using critical point-drying (Novarino, 1991b; Novarino and Lucas, 1993a), and this should be borne in mind when comparing measurements based on SEM and light microscopy.

**Kind of periplast present.** Plated versus non-plated periplasts are accepted as a taxonomically significant character at the generic level (Santore, 1984; Kugrens and Lee, 1987; Hill and Wetherbee, 1988, 1989, 1990; Hill, 1991a; Novarino, 1991a; Hill, 1991b; Novarino, 1991b; Novarino and Lucas, 1993a, 1993b; Novarino et al., 1994; Novarino and Lucas, 1995; Clay et al., 1999; Kugrens et al., 1999). Detailed investigations on periplast architecture may require freeze-fracture/etch preparations for transmission electron microscopy (Wetherbee et al., 1986, 1987; Kugrens and Lee, 1987), but SEM is usually capable of revealing whether the periplast is plated or non-plated.

**Shape and size of the periplast plates when plates are present.** The shape of the periplast plates has been used extensively as a taxonomic character at the generic level (Santore, 1984; Kugrens and Lee, 1987; Hill and Wetherbee, 1988, 1989, 1990; Hill, 1991a; Novarino, 1991a; Hill, 1991b; Novarino, 1991b; Novarino and Lucas, 1993a, 1993b; Novarino et al., 1994; Novarino and Lucas, 1995; Clay et al., 1999; Kugrens et al., 1999), while the size of the plates has been used to delimit species within particular genera (Meyer and Pienaar, 1984; Novarino, 1991a, 1991b; Novarino and Lucas, 1993b; Novarino et al., 1994; Novarino and Lucas, 1995; Kristiansen and Kristiansen, 1999). When one is measuring the periplast plates, the possible occurrence of shrinkage artefacts (see below) should be borne in mind and may require some interpretation on the part of the observer.

**Morphology of the vestibular region of the cell from which the flagella arise, especially the presence or absence of a true, non artefactual ventral furrow.** This has been the object of much controversy ever since the early light-microscopical descriptions. The term “furrow” refers to a shallow groove on the ventral face of the cell, of variable length and width. Some of the early light microscopists described cryptomonads with open furrows, but the exact architecture of these structures (especially their spatial relationships with other vestibular structures such as the closed, tubular gullet) was virtually impossible to establish with certainty using light microscopy only. Since the advent of electron
microscopy, SEM has been the tool of choice for studying these structures. It has been hypothesised (Santore, 1984) that furrows are always preparation artefacts, and therefore they have no taxonomic value at all, or that they are never artefactual (Kugrens et al., 1986; Hill and Wetherbee, 1989) and are taxonomically significant at the generic level (Clay et al., 1999). A more complex view is that the term “furrow” may encompass artefactual and non-artefactual structures alike (Novarino, 1991b), and it is possible to judge whether or not an observed furrow is an artefact based on a few simple considerations (presence or absence of obvious signs of shrinkage or collapse in other regions of the cell; frequency of occurrence of observed furrows in a sample of 30-40 cells belonging to the same species; and, in cryptomonads with a plated periplast, presence or absence of periplast plates on the internal face of the furrow, because true furrows are never lined internally with discrete periplast plates of the same kind as those found on the rest of the cell). Further comments on the relationships between artefacts and furrow-like structures are made below. It has been argued that true furrows are taxonomically significant at the specific rather than generic level because they are not necessarily present in all of the species of a particular genus (Novarino, 1991b; Novarino et al., 1994).

Arrangement, absolute and relative length of the flagella. Flagella can be observed also with the light microscope but their length and point of insertion can be determined much more accurately with the SEM. Features such as the median/subapical versus apical insertion point of the flagella and their absolute and relative length have been considered to be taxonomically significant since the times of the early light-microscopical descriptions (at the genus and species level respectively). This view has been upheld also in more recent ultrastructural and taxonomic investigations (Hill and Wetherbee, 1988; Novarino and Lucas, 1993b; Clay and Kugrens, 1999).

Presence or absence of a posterior tail. “Tails”, i.e. acute posterior ends which are often curved ventrally or dorsally, can be observed also with the light microscope, but the SEM can show whether or not the periplast is of the same kind present on the rest of the cell surface. This feature is taxonomically significant at the generic level because it is diagnostic of Plagioselmis (Novarino et al., 1994).

Presence or absence of a mid-ventral band in the region between the posterior end of the furrow and the posterior end of the cell. Mid-ventral bands are present in some genera. They are easily observed with the SEM, where they appear as a cord-like structure on the ventral cell surface in the posterior region of the cell. Within individual genera they do not appear to be present in all of the species (Hill and Wetherbee, 1989; Hill, 1991b; Novarino et al., 1994), suggesting that their taxonomic value is at the specific level.

Presence or absence of a periplast raphe. The “raphe”—a line at the posterior end of the cell where the periplast plates seem to converge—is a unique feature of some species of the genus Chroomonas sensu auctorum (Hill, 1991b).

Arrangement of flagellar appendages. The nature and arrangement of flagellar appendages in cryptomonads are highly diversified (Morrall, 1980; Kugrens et al., 1987). The taxonomic significance of these appendages is not entirely clear but some genera always show a characteristic pattern. Whole mounts for transmission electron microscopy are the choice observation method but the SEM may still show the appendages in adequately fixed cells, revealing whether or not they are arranged according to the “normal” pattern, i.e. two rows of tubular hairs on the dorsal (usually longer) flagellum, and one row on the ventral (usually shorter) flagellum.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Occurrence

Cryptomonads were found in all areas investigated. They occurred either as dispersed (non particle-associated) cells or in association with suspended particulate matter (SPM) (Fig. 1). Amongst SPM-associated forms, species of Plagioselmis and Hemiselmis were the most frequent (Fig. 1). Cells of Plagioselmis formed aggregates incorporating variable amounts of flocculent or fibrillar particulate material and other protists (e.g. diatoms or diatom remains, Fig. 1A; dinoflagellates, Fig. 1B; and other flagellates, especially Hemiselmis, prasinophytes, and Phaeocystis, Figs. 1C, D). Adhesion to SPM or other protists occurred either over a large portion of the cell surface (Figs. 1A-C), or else it was limited to a few points, as in the case of cells adhering to chitinous exofilaments produced by the haptophyte Phaeocystis (Fig. 1D). Eleven species belonging to six genera were found. All were photosynthetic, as
FIG. 1. – Cryptomonads from the Mediterranean Sea, SEM, HMDS-dried material, showing that the cells may occur in association with suspended particulate matter (SPM). A, a cell of *Plagioselmis prolonga* (P) associated with diatom remains and fibrous material. B, other cells of *P. prolonga* (P) associated with a larger aggregate including a thecate dinoflagellate, various small flagellate cells and large quantities of granular material. C, A cell of *P. prolonga* (P) associated with *Hemiselmis* sp. inedit. (H), a prasinophyte cell and granular material. D, a cell of *P. prolonga* (P) associated with the chitinous exofilaments produced by the haptophyte *Phaeocystis* (P). Scale bars = 5 µm (A, B), 2.5 µm (C) or 2 µm (D).
expected on the basis of the fact that heterotrophic cryptomonads are mostly freshwater rather than marine forms (Chilomonas Ehrenberg), or frequently restricted to benthic environments when they occur in the sea (Goniomonas von Stein).

**General appearance in SEM**

The cell surface (periplast) is of great taxonomic value within the cryptomonads (Novarino and Lucas, 1993a; Clay et al., 1999; Novarino, 2003), and it was also the most prominent feature of the specimens found during this investigation. The following account of periplast morphology in the Mediterranean material is aimed at providing as much information as possible for the practical identification of specimens during future ecological surveys.

In specimens fixed adequately with Lugol’s solution the periplast morphology was that expected for any particular genus. Thus cells of Plagioselmis had a characteristic periplast composed of large hexagonal plates on the main portion of the cell body, and a sheet-like (= non-plated) periplast on the posterior tail (Figs. 2A, 8A, 9A). Hemiselmis (Figs. 3, 5-7) also had hexagonal plates, but they were much larger than those of Plagioselmis; additionally, cells of Hemiselmis lacked a tail with a sheet-like periplast. The plates of Rhinomonas (Fig. 13B) were also hexagonal but usually smaller than those of Plagioselmis. Chroomonas sensu auctorum had rectangular plates (Fig. 13A), whereas Cryptochloris (Fig. 4) and Teleaulax (Figs. 11, 12) had a non-plated (= sheet-like) periplast.

Occasionally, preparation artefacts occurred which gave rise to deviations from the normal appearance of the periplast, particularly in the case of glutaraldehyde-fixed material. These artefacts are documented here for future reference and identification purposes because they might still provide useful taxonomic information when the periplast lacks its normal appearance. Within the cryptomonads, typical artefacts associated with SEM preparation schedules can be subdivided into three broad groups as follows:

** Artefacts arising from peripheral ejectosome discharge or bulging.** The discharge of ejectosome vesicles present underneath the cell membrane produces distinctly visible pores (e.g. Fig. 2C), which may provide information on periplast morphology when the normal appearance is not seen. In cryptomonads with a plated periplast, the peripheral ejectosomes are placed at the corners of the plates so their discharge immediately reveals the plate shape (e.g. Plagioselmis, Fig. 2C: hexagonal plates and ejectosome pores arranged according to an hexagonal pattern). When the periplast is sheet-like (= non-plated) the peripheral ejectosomes are frequently arranged in a spiral pattern; bulging of the ejectosomes towards the external surface immediately reveals this arrangement, showing in turn that the periplast is not plated (e.g. Teleaulax, Fig. 11D). In the case of the posterior tail of Plagioselmis, bulging and/or discharge of the peripheral ejectosomes clearly reveals that the tail periplast is sheet-like rather than plated (Fig. 2A). The presence of a sheet-like periplast on the tail, as opposed to a plated (hexagonal) periplast on the main portion of the cell body, is a diagnostic feature of the genus Plagioselmis, making it possible to tell apart all members of this genus from other cryptomonads in which the cell posterior end is also pointed and tail-like, e.g. Teleaulax (Figs. 11, 12).

** Artefacts arising from inadequate fixation of the cell membrane.** These artefacts are very variable and it is difficult to give an unequivocal interpretation of how they affect the appearance of the periplast because cryptomonad cells may have periplast components on one, the other or both sides of the cell membrane. In the case of periplast plates present only on the inside of the membrane (e.g. Plagioselmis, Figs. 2B, D), the complete loss of the membrane may give rise to plates with a smooth, more angular appearance (Fig. 2D). A similar appearance may be seen in some Hemiselmis cells where the plates may often deform (Fig. 3D). At times the plates may be bordered by thickened ridges (e.g. Plagioselmis, Fig. 3A; Hemiselmis, Fig. 3C). Adequately fixed cells usually lack these ridges and have thin grooves instead (e.g. Fig. 6), so the ridges could be a result of inadequate fixation of the membrane over the intra-membrane particles present between adjoining periplast plates (see Kugrens and Lee, 1987). Shrinkage of the membrane over the underlying (internal) periplast plates may give rise to either a more distinct or indistinct appearance of the plates, depending on the degree of shrinkage itself (e.g. Plagioselmis, Fig. 2B; Hemiselmis, Fig. 3B). Cell shrinkage (up to about 25% linear) is to be considered normal during specimen preparation for SEM (Novarino, 1991b), so it is possible that membrane shrinkage itself is a main factor contributing to the “normal” appearance of the periplast in SEM.
Fig. 2. – Cryptomonads from the Mediterranean Sea, SEM, HMDS-dried material, showing the variability of the appearance of the periplast of *Plagioselmis prolonga* also in relation to fixation. **A**, the posterior tail of *Plagioselmis prolonga*, which has a characteristic sheet-like periplast rather than the hexagonal plates present on the main portion of the cell body; some ejectosome pores are also visible. **B**, a cell from which the cell membrane has been removed by fixation in the anterior half of the cell, producing a more angular and “rougher” appearance of the underlying periplast plates. **C**, cells of *P. prolonga* (top) and *Hemiselmis* (bottom), showing that the hexagonal plates of *Plagioselmis* are smaller than those of *Hemiselmis*; note also the ejectosome pores at the corners of the plates of *Plagioselmis*. **D**, a cell of *Plagioselmis* with the cell membrane completely removed by fixation; compare the appearance of the periplast plates with Figs. 2B and 2C. Scale bars = 1 µm.
Fig. 3. – Cryptomonads from the Mediterranean Sea, SEM, HMDS-dried material, showing the variability of the appearance of the periplast of *Hemiselmis* also in relation to fixation. **A**, a cell of *Hemiselmis* (right), whose periplast plates are characteristically larger than those of *Plagioselmis* (left). **B**, a dividing cell of *Hemiselmis*, in which the periplast plates of one of the daughter cells (top) are indistinct. **C**, a cell showing ridges between the periplast plates, probably as a result of inadequate fixation of the cell membrane over the intra-membrane particles between adjoining plates. **D**, a cell where inadequate fixation has completely removed the cell membrane, producing distortions within the underlying periplast plates. Scale bars = 2 µm.
**Artefactual ventral furrow-like structures.** These artefacts are very misleading because cryptomonad cells may also possess true, non-artefactual ventral furrows (Novarino, 1991b; Novarino, 2003). When the observed furrow is a narrow fold-like structure then it is most probably an artefact associated with cell shrinkage occurring during preparation for SEM. Such shrinkage may also produce folds or cracks in other parts of the cell, especially the dorsal face (Fig. 13B). In cryptomonad cells with a plated periplast it is possible to tell unequivocally whether or not an observed furrow is artefactual because true furrows are never lined internally with periplast plates (Figs. 5C, 8C). In the case of cells with a non-plated periplast, true furrows are usually broad and they often show ejectosome pores on their internal surface (Fig. 12B).

**SEM-based identification key to the genera**

The following artificial key to the genera found during this investigation is based mostly on the main features of the periplast, as revealed by SEM.

1. Cells with a sheet-like periplast, i.e. not composed of separate plates. ......................................................... 2
   – Cells with a periplast composed of separate plates ................................................................. 3
2. Large (> 8-10 µm), elongated or elliptical cells with an acute posterior end where the periplast is also sheet-like ................................... *Teleaulax*
   – Smaller (ca. 5 µm) sub spherical cells .................. .............................................................................. *Cryptochloris*
3. Periplast plates rectangular ...................................
   ................................................................. **Chroomonas** sensu auctorum
   – Periplast plates hexagonal ................................. 4
4. Plates large (not less than 0.4-0.5 µm), present on the entire cell surface including the posterior end, which may be pointed and therefore superficially resemble the tail of *Plagioselmis* (compare couplet 5 below); cells small (usually up to 5 µm long) and reniform, with median or subapical flagella ................................................................. *Hemiselmis*
   – Smaller plates (up to about 0.5 µm); cells usually longer than 5 µm, not reniform, with apical flagella ................................................................. 5
5. The posterior end is modified into a pointed tail, possessing a mid-ventral band and a sheet-like periplast instead of the plated periplast found on the main portion of the cell body ......................... *Plagioselmis*
   – The posterior end is not modified into a tail ......
   .................................................................................. *Rhinomonas*

**Taxonomy**

Genus *Cryptochloris* Schiller (ICBN, ICZN) non Bentham (ICBN) non Shortridge and Carter (ICZN)

Schiller, 1925, Archiv für Protistenkunde vol. 53, p. 88, pl. 3 Fig. 10.

Type species: *Cryptochloris vittata* Schiller, 1925, p. 88, pl. 3 Fig. 10.

**Cryptochloris sp.** Novarino, Mills and Hannah (Figs. 4, 14A)

Novarino et al., 1997, p.1093, Figs. 5e, 9, 10.

Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999, p. 249, pl. 114 Figs. d, e, g-i (as *Cryptochrysis* sp., typographical error for *Cryptochloris* sp.).

**Known geographical distribution:** Mediterranean Sea: Sea of Alboran; Northern Atlantic: southern North Sea (Novarino et al., 1997), Irish Sea (Novarino, unpublished), St. Lawrence estuary and gulf (Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999).

*Cryptochloris* is a scarcely known genus originally described from the plankton of the Adriatic Sea. To date it only includes the type-species, *C. vittata* Schiller (1925), a photosynthetic flagellate with two unequal flagella and a ventral groove (furrow) lined with ejectosomes, which has never been reported again after the original description. The first report of this genus after the original description is given by the finding of *Cryptochloris* sp. in the plankton of the southern North Sea (Novarino et al., 1997). Identification was based on SEM, which showed cells with two equal flagella and a ventral furrow bordered by a “lip” (thickened margin) and lined with ejectosome pores. The only other published report of *Cryptochloris* is from the plankton of the St. Lawrence estuary and gulf (Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999). This is under the name of *Cryptochrysis* sp. but this is clearly a typographical error for *Cryptochloris* since the specimens illustrated using SEM are very similar the North Sea specimens of *Cryptochloris* sp. (Novarino et al., 1997), to which express reference was made by Bérard-Therriault et al. (1999); express reference was also made to “*C. vittata* Schiller 1925”. During the present investigation we found *Cryptochloris* specimens very similar to those from the St. Lawrence and the North Sea. Very likely the specimens described from all of these localities belong to a hitherto undescribed species, but further information is necessary for a formal taxonomic description.

Cells of *Cryptochloris* sp. from the Mediterranean (Figs. 4, 14A) are subspherical, about 4-6 µm.
FIG. 4. – Cryptochloris sp. Novarino et al. from the Mediterranean Sea, SEM, HMDS-dried material. A, this cell is in ventro-lateral view as seen from the posterior end; it shows the characteristic ventral furrow bordered by a thickened, lip-like margin. B, a cell in dorsal view. C, a closer view of the periplast of a cell seen in dorsal view; note the papillate appearance produced by the bulging peripheral ejectosomes lying underneath the cell membrane. D, a cell in lateral view. Scale bars = 2 µm.
Key to the species found here

1. Furrow present .............. *Hemiselmis* sp. inedit.
   - Furrow absent ........................................... 2

2. Flagella equal in length and shorter than the cell; periplast plates very large (on average about 0.75 µm) .......................................................... *Hemiselmis* sp. 1
   - Flagella longer than the cell, with the dorsal flagellum slightly longer than the ventral one; periplast plates smaller (on average about 0.5 µm) ........................................... *Hemiselmis* sp. 2

***Hemiselmis*** sp. inedit.
(Figs. 5, 14B)

*Known geographical distribution:* So far found only in the Mediterranean Sea (Sea of Alboran, port of Barcelona).

Cells of *Hemiselmis* sp. inedit. (Figs. 5, 14B) are about 3-4 µm long and 1.5-2 µm thick. There are two unequal or subequal flagella, of which the longer (dorsal) one is just longer than the cell. The dorsal flagellum carries the usual double row of tubular hairs, while the ventral one has a single row (Fig. 5B). The flagella are inserted at an angle of up to 50-60° with respect to the longitudinal axis of the cell, and arise from a vestibular depression displaced towards the right-hand side of a ventral furrow (Fig. 5C). In SEM preparations the furrow may be lined with ejectosome pores. The periplast is composed of typical hexagonal plates about 0.4-0.65 µm in size (Figs. 5A, C).

Cells also have a pointed posterior end resembling the tail of *Plagioselmis* (Fig. 5C). However, unlike *Plagioselmis* the periplast plates present on the main portion of the cell body (Fig. 5A) also extend onto the tail (Fig. 5C). Hill (1992a) noted that a tail may be present also in *Hemiselmis virescens* Droop (1955), which *Hemiselmis* sp. inedit. somewhat resembles, but *H. virescens* lacks the characteristic ventral furrow of *Hemiselmis* sp. inedit., a structure which is hitherto undocumented in the genus *Hemiselmis* (Novarino and Lucas, 1993a). Additional differences between this species and other species of *Hemiselmis* include the size of the periplast plates, flagellar length, and flagellar/cell length ratio (Table 1).

Although the presence of a furrow makes this cryptomonad a unique representative of the genus *Hemiselmis*, this does not raise concerns if one accepts the view that furrows are taxonomically
Fig. 5. – *Hemiselmis* sp. inedit. from the Mediterranean Sea, SEM, HMDS-dried material. A, a cell in lateral view. B, arrangement of flagellar mastigonemes (reverse print). C, this cell in ventral view shows the characteristic ventral furrow, which is displaced towards the left-hand side of the point of flagellar insertion. Scale bars = 1 µm.

TABLE 1. – Selected morphological features of some species of *Hemiselmis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Cell size (µm)</th>
<th>Flagella</th>
<th>Size of periplast plates (µm)</th>
<th>Tail</th>
<th>Furrow (as documented using SEM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiselmis amylosa</em></td>
<td>5-6 x 3.5 x 2.5-3</td>
<td>subequal, with the longer (dorsal) one about as long as the cell</td>
<td>ca. 1-1.25 (estimate based on Clay and Kugrens, 1999, Fig. 13)</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay and Kugrens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiselmis brunescens</em></td>
<td>5-5.5 x 3 x 2.5-3</td>
<td>unequal, 6-7 µm long</td>
<td>ca. 0.6 (estimate based on Wetherbee <em>et al.</em>, 1986, Fig. 8)</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>absent (Santore, 1982, Fig. 1; Wetherbee <em>et al.</em>, 1986, Fig. 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butcher</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiselmis rufescens</em></td>
<td>4.8-5 x 3.5-5 x 2-3</td>
<td>unequal, the longer (dorsal) one about 1.5 times the cell length</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>absent (Santore, 1977, Fig. 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parke</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiselmis</em> sp. inedit.*</td>
<td>3-4 x 1.5-2</td>
<td>subequal-unequal</td>
<td>0.4-0.65</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiselmis</em> sp. 1</td>
<td>3-5 x 2-3</td>
<td>equal, 1/2 to 3/4 the cell length</td>
<td>up to 1.25 µm (0.75 µm on average)</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiselmis</em> sp. 2</td>
<td>2.5-4 x 1.5-2.5 (this paper); 3.5-4.5 x 2-2.5 (Novarino <em>et al.</em>, 1997)</td>
<td>slightly unequal, with the longer (dorsal) one slightly longer than the cell</td>
<td>0.4-0.7</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hemiselmis virescens</em></td>
<td>5-7 (Droop 1955); 4.5-7 x 2.5-3 (Butcher, 1967); 4-6 x 3 (Hill, 1992a).</td>
<td>slightly unequal, with the longer (dorsal) one slightly longer than the cell (Droop, 1955); unequal (Butcher, 1967); equal, as long as the cell (Hill, 1992a).</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>occasionally present (Hill 1992a)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 6. – *Hemiselmis* sp. 1 from the Mediterranean Sea. SEM, HMDS-dried material. A, a cell in dorso-lateral view. B, a cell in lateral view seen from the posterior end. C, D, two cells in dorsal view, showing the variability of the size of the periplast plates. Scale bars = 1 µm.
significant at the specific level (Novarino, 1991b; Novarino et al., 1994). However, if the view is followed that furrows have a generic value (Clay et al., 1999), then it could be asked if this cryptomonad should be assigned to a different genus. The simultaneous presence of a furrow which is displaced towards the left-hand side of the point of flagellar insertion, and a periplast composed of hexagonal plates, is also found in members of the genus Plagioselmis (Novarino et al., 1994) and Falcomonas Hill, a monospecific genus characterised by the presence of a unique phycocyanin pigment (Hill, 1991b; Clay and Kugrens, 1999). However, in both those genera the typical size of the periplast plates is smaller than in Hemiselmis sp. inedit., and therefore there are considerably

![Fig. 7. – Hemiselmis sp. 2 from the Mediterranean Sea, SEM, HMDS-dried material. A, a cell in dorso-lateral view. B, a cell in dorsal view. C, a cell in ventro-lateral view. D, a cell in ventral view; note that a ventral furrow at the point of flagellar insertion (arrow) is absent (compare Hemiselmis sp. inedit., Fig. 5C). Scale bars = 1 μm.](image)
more plates per cell in Plagioselmis and Falcomonas than in Hemiselmis sp. inedit. Furthermore, cells of Hemiselmis sp. inedit. are considerably smaller than is typical in Plagioselmis and Falcomonas. In any case the identity of the phycobilin pigment of Hemiselmis sp. inedit. is unknown, making it impossible to verify whether or not this cryptomonad might be better placed in Falcomonas, but this is probably unlikely. As mentioned, the kind of periplast present on the posterior or tail of Hemiselmis sp. inedit. clearly sets it apart from Plagioselmis. It cannot be excluded that this cryptomonad effectively represents an hitherto undescribed genus, but further information (phycobilin pigment, internal ultrastructure, and probably molecular sequence data) is necessary in order to verify this possibility. Further insight into the systematic position of this cryptomonad will require the establishment of monospecific cultures.

This cryptomonad was found in the Sea of Alboran stations A and C at depths between 40 and 70 m, i.e. below the deep chlorophyll maxima, which were located between 30 and 44 m. It also occurred in two time series samples from the port of Barcelona.

**Hemiselmis sp. 1** (Fig. 6)

*Known geographical distribution:* So far known only from the Sea of Alboran and port of Barcelona.

Cells of Hemiselmis sp. 1 (Fig. 6) are about 3-5 μm long and 2-3 μm thick. There are two equal flagella about 1/2 - 3/4 the cell length (Figs. 6A, B). The flagella are inserted in a median (Fig. 6B) or subapical (Fig. 6A) position. There is no ventral furrow and no posterior tail. The periplast (Fig. 6C) is composed of typical, large hexagonal plates (size up to about 1.25 μm, about 0.75 μm on average). Differences with other species of Hemiselmis are summarised in Table 1. Although there are similarities with previously described species of Hemiselmis, Hemiselmis sp. 1 cannot be identified as belonging to any one of them. However, owing to the relative scarcity of specimens in the examined samples a new species is not established for the time being.

**Hemiselmis sp. 2** Novarino, Mills et Hannah (Fig. 7)

Novarino et al., 1997, p. 1094, Fig. 5c.

*Known geographical distribution:* North Sea (Novarino et al., 1997); Mediterranean Sea: Sea of Alboran, port of Barcelona.

Cells of Hemiselmis sp. 2 Novarino et al. (1997) from the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 7) are about 2.5-4 μm long and 1.5-2.5 μm thick, and therefore smaller than those described previously from the North Sea (Novarino et al., 1997). There are two slightly unequal flagella, of which the longer (dorsal) one is slightly longer than the cell. The flagella are inserted in a median position (Fig. 7C) and there is no furrow. The periplast is composed of typical hexagonal plates (Fig. 7C), on average about 0.5 μm in size and ranging between 0.4 and 0.7 μm. Differences with other species of Hemiselmis are summarised in Table 1. Analogous to Hemiselmis sp. 1, a new species is not established for the time being.

Hemiselmis sp. 2 was found in the Sea of Alboran station C at a depth of 50 m, i.e. below the deep chlorophyll maximum, which was located at 35 m. It also occurred in two time series samples from the port of Barcelona.

**Genus Plagioselmis** Butcher emend. Novarino Lucas et Morrall (ICBN) = Plagioselmis Butcher (ICZN)


Lectotype species: *Plagioselmis prolunga* Butcher ex Novarino Lucas and Morrall, 1994, p. 90, Figs. 1-18. (ICBN); nomenclatural equivalent: *Plagioselmis prolunga* Butcher, 1967, p. 18, pl. 1 Fig. 9, pl. XIV Fig. 2 (ICZN); synonym (ICBN): *Plagioselmis prolunga* Butcher, 1967, p. 18, pl. 1 Fig. 9, pl. XIV Fig. 2, typ. non desig.

**Key to the species found here:**

1. Furrow absent ............................................ *P. nordica*
   - Furrow present ....................................... 2

2. Periplast plates large (on average about 0.4 μm); tail about 1/7-1/3 the cell length; mid-ventral band present on the tail and extending to the base of the ventral furrow ....................... *P. prolunga*
   - Periplast plates smaller (0.2-0.3 μm); tail about 1/10 the cell length; mid-ventral band present on the tail only, i.e. it does not extend to the base of the furrow ......................... *P. sp. inedit*
Fig. 8. *Plagioselmis prolonga* from the Mediterranean Sea, SEM, HMDS-dried material. A, a cell in lateral view, showing the typical flagellar ornamentation. B, a cell in dorsal view. C, a cell in ventral view, showing the characteristic furrow. D, another cell in ventral view; note that the mid-ventral band (arrow) extends to the base of the furrow. Scale bars = 2 µm.
**Plagioselmis prolonga** Butcher ex Novarino, Lucas et Morrall (ICBN) = *Plagioselmis prolonga* Butcher (ICZN) (Figs. 2, 8)

Butcher, 1967, pl. I Fig. 9, pl. XIV Fig. 2; Novarino et al., 1994, p. 90, Figs. 1-18.

**Synonym (ICBN):** *Plagioselmis prolonga* Butcher, 1967, pl. I Fig. 9, pl. XIV Fig. 2, typ. non desig.; Hill, 1992b, p. 165, Figs. 1 A - P; Kuylenstierna and Karlson, 1994, p. 22, Figs. 8a, b.

**Lectotype (ICBN):** Butcher, 1967, pl. I Fig. 9 (designated by Novarino et al., 1994); nomenclatural equivalent: *Plagioselmis prolonga* Butcher, 1967, p. 18, pl. I Fig. 9, pl. XIV Fig. 2 (ICZN).

**Allied taxa:** *Plagioselmis prolonga* forma japonica Thronsdon, 1983, p. 5, Fig. 11; *Plagioselmis* sp. ‘B’ Thronsdon, 1983, p. 5, Figs. 9, 10; *Plagioselmis punctata* Butcher, 1967, p. 19, pl. I Fig. 10, pl. XIV Fig. 3; *Chroomonas* sp.Andreoli et al., 1986, Figs. 1-6; *Chroomonas* sp. Bisalputra et al., 1973, Fig. 14; *Cryptomonas* sp. Booth et al., 1982, Fig. 21; *Cryptomonas* sp. Delgado and Fortuño, 1991, p. 22, pl. XC c.

**Known geographical distribution:** Mediterranean Sea: Sea of Alboran, Barcelona coastal waters, port of Barcelona; Northern Atlantic: British coastal waters (Butcher, 1967), Irish Sea (Novarino, unpublished), oyster basins south of La Rochelle, France (Billard, personal communication, and Novarino, unpublished), Baltic Sea (Billard, 1992), Skagerrak (Kuylenstierna and Karlson, 1994).

Cells of *Plagioselmis prolonga* from the Mediterranean Sea (Figs. 2, 8) are about 6-10 µm long and 2.5-4.5 µm thick. There are two subequal flagella, of which the longer (dorsal) one is about as long as the cell (Fig. 8A). In glutaraldehyde-fixed material, the typical flagellar ornamentation of this species is clearly visible (Fig. 8A); it consists of the usual double row of tubular hairs on the dorsal flagellum and a single row on the ventral one, with additional short, fibrillar hairs on both flagella. The flagella are inserted in a subapical position, and to the left-hand side of the point of flagellar insertion there is a ventral furrow which extends to the median part of the cell but not considerably beyond it (Figs. 8C, D). As is typical in this genus, the pointed posterior tail carries a sheet-like periplast instead of the hexagonal plates present on the main portion of the cell body (Figs. 2, 8). The tail is variable in shape (e.g. 8A, a blade-like tail; Fig. 8C, a pointed, ventrally curved tail) and length, but not shorter than about 1/7 the cell length. It carries a distinct mid-ventral band, which extends towards and reaches the base of the ventral furrow (Figs. 8C, D). On average the periplast plates on the main portion of the cell body are about 0.4 µm in size. *Plagioselmis prolonga* is easily distinguished from other species of its genus (Table 2).

*Plagioselmis prolonga* was found in the majority of samples from the Sea of Alboran, the Barcelona transect and the port of Barcelona, at all depths, and the morphology of the specimens closely matched that reported in the emended description (Novarino et al., 1994). This, together with the fact that *P. prolonga* has been reported from a variety of geographical regions, confirms that this species has a wide distribution, at least as far as can be judged based on morphology alone. It is not known whether or not this wide distribution of morphologically homogeneous populations conceals a number of genetically or physiologically distinct entities.

*Plagioselmis nordica* stat. nov. (ICBN) = *Plagioselmis nordica* sp. nov. (ICZN) (Fig. 9)

**Synonym (ICBN):** *Plagioselmis prolonga* var. nordica Novarino et al., 1994, p. 92, Figs. 19 (holotype); 20 (paratype); Novarino et al., 1997, p. 1096, Fig. 15; Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999, p. 249, pl. 115a-f, j. *Plagioselmis* sp. Novarino, 1991b, p. 602, Figs. 3, 4.

**Diagnosis (ICZN):** A small *Plagioselmis* without a ventral furrow.

**Holotype (ICZN):** Novarino et al., 1994, Fig. 19, from a plankton sample from the southern North Sea collected on 8/8/1988 at 4 m

**Table 2.** – Selected morphological features of marine species of *Plagioselmis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Cell size (µm)</th>
<th>Flagella</th>
<th>Size of periplast plates (µm)</th>
<th>Tail</th>
<th>Furrow</th>
<th>Mid-ventral band</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P. sp. inedit.</td>
<td>6-9 x 4-5.5 x 3-4</td>
<td>equal - subequal, about 1/3 to 3/3 the cell length</td>
<td>0.15-0.25</td>
<td>1/10 the cell length</td>
<td>present, extends to about 2/3 the cell length</td>
<td>present, does not extend from the tail towards the furrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. nordica</td>
<td>4-6 x 2-3</td>
<td>subequal, the longer (dorsal) one about 1 to 1.5 times the cell length</td>
<td>0.25-0.4</td>
<td>1/5 to 1/4 the cell length</td>
<td>absent</td>
<td>absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. prolonga</td>
<td>6-10 x 2.5-4.5</td>
<td>subequal, the longer (dorsal) one about as long as the cell</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1/7 to 1/3 the cell length</td>
<td>present, extends to about 1/2 the cell length</td>
<td>present, extends from the tip of the tail to the base of the furrow</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
depth from station BG during cruise no. 33 of the UK Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) North Sea Community Project 1988/89.

Allied taxa: *Plagioselmis* sp. “A” Thronsen, 1983, p. 5, Figs. 7, 8. *Cryptomonas acuta* sensu Chang, 1983, Fig. 7A, non *Cryptomonas acuta* Butcher, 1952, p. 188, pl. 2 Figs. 51-53.

**Known geographical distribution:** Mediterranean Sea: Sea of Alboran; Northern Atlantic: southern North Sea (Novarino et al., 1997), St. Lawrence estuary and gulf (Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999).

Cells of *Plagiosemis nordica* from the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 9) are about 4 - 6 µm long and 2 - 3 µm thick. There are two subequal flagella, of which the longer (dorsal) one is about 1 to 1.5 times the cell length (Fig. 9A, C). As in *P. prolonga*, the flagella appear to carry short fibrillar hairs (Fig. 9C) in addition to the usual double row of tubular hairs on the dorsal flagellum and single row on the ventral one (Fig. 9B). Another similarity with *P. prolonga* is that the flagella are inserted subapically (Fig. 9C). However, unlike *P. prolonga* the point of flagellar insertion is not displaced towards the right-hand side of the cell (Fig. 9A), and a ventral furrow is absent.

The morphology of the tail is similar to that of *P. prolonga*. The Mediterranean specimens of *P. nordica* have a tail about 1/4 to 1/2 the cell length, while in the type material from the North Sea (Novarino et al., 1994) the tail is about 1/5 the cell length. In the Mediterranean specimens the average size of the hexagonal periplast plates on the main portion of the cell body is about 0.4 µm, compared to about 0.3 µm in the type material (Novarino et al., 1994).

*Plagioselmis nordica* was found in the Sea of Alboran station C at a depth of 50 m, i.e. below the deep chlorophyll maximum, which was located at 44 m.

**Note on taxonomy and nomenclature.** This cryptomonad was first described under the ICBN only, as a variety of *Plagioselmis prolonga*, i.e. *P. prolonga* var. *nordica* (Novarino et al., 1994). Now that more information and further reports are available, there is sufficient morphological evidence to raise the status of *P. prolonga* var. *nordica* to that of a species under the ICBN. Although this status change introduces nomenclatural instability, it is advantageous from the point of view of ambireginal nomen-
clature because infraspecific taxa at the variety level are not regulated by the ICZN, and therefore *P. pro-
longa* var. *nordica* has no standing under the zoo-
ological nomenclature. Therefore, under the ICZN we describe *P. nordica* as a new species to all
effects, and a formal diagnosis is required together with the designation of a holotype. For the sake of
consistency across the Codes of nomenclature, the
ICZN holotype designated here is identical to that
already designated under the ICBN (Novarino et al.,
1994).

**Plagioselmis sp. inedit.**
(Figs. 10, 14C)

*Known geographical distribution*: So far known
only from the Sea of Alboran.

Cells of *Plagioselmis* sp. inedit. (Figs. 10, 14C)
have a broadly rounded (Fig. 10C) or, less frequently,
slightly rostrate (Fig. 10A) anterior end, and are slight-
ly compressed along the perlateral axis. They are about
6 - 9 µm long, 4-5.5 µm thick, and 3-4 µm wide. There
are two equal (Fig. 10A) or slightly unequal (Fig.
10C) flagella, ranging in length from about 1/3 the cell
length to cell length. The flagella are inserted in a sub-
apical position, and to the left-hand side of the point of
flagellar insertion there is a broad ventral furrow
extending to about 2/3 the cell length (Fig. 10C). The
tail (Fig. 10C) is about 1/10 the cell length and there-
fore very short compared to other species of *Pla-
gioselmis*. The mid-ventral band is present on the tail
only and it does not extend towards the base of the fur-
row (Fig. 10C). The periplast is composed of small,
barely perceptible hexagonal plates about 0.15-0.25
µm in size (Fig. 10B).

Table 2 gives a comparison of this cryptomonad
with other species of *Plagioselmis*. *Plagioselmis* sp.
inedit. is very unusual owing to its very short tail
and mid-ventral band, and the small size of the
periplast plates. The plates may be difficult to detect
in the SEM and therefore the periplast may superfi-
cially appear to be sheet-like, in which case *Pla-
gioselmis* sp. inedit. may be mistaken for *Teleaulax
amphioxeia*, which it resembles in general morphol-
ology (see below). However, these two cryptomonads

![Image](image_url)
Fig. 11. – *Teleaulax acuta* from the Mediterranean Sea, SEM, HMDS-dried material. A, a cell in lateral view. B, C, two cells in ventro-lateral view, with furrows of different lengths (arrows). D, a cell in dorsal view; note the papillate appearance of the periplast, produced by the bulging ejectosomes present underneath the continuous, sheet-like periplast layer. Scale bars = 2 µm.
can be told apart thanks to the mid-ventral band (absent in *Teleaulax* as a whole) and the fact that in *Plagioselmis* sp. inedit. the longer flagellum is the dorsal one while in *T. amphioxeia* it is the ventral one. There are also some resemblances with the phycocyanin-containing cryptomonad *Falcomonas daucoides* (pointed cell posterior, presence of a furrow and a mid-ventral band, and small hexagonal periplast plates: Hill, 1991b; Clay and Kugrens, 1999). However, unlike *Plagioselmis* as a whole *Falcomonas daucoides* has a tail periplast identical to the periplast found on the main portion of the cell body, i.e. both the tail and the cell body periplast are composed of hexagonal plates. In spite of the unique features of *Plagioselmis* sp. inedit a new taxon is not established for it at this stage because further ultrastructural information is necessary.

This cryptomonad was found in the Sea of Alboran stations A and C at depths of 5 and 50 m, i.e. above or below the deep chlorophyll maxima, which were located at 30 and 35 m.

**Genus *Teleaulax*** Hill (ICBN, ICZN)


Type species: *Teleaulax acuta* (Butcher) Hill, 1991a, p. 177, Figs. 6, 16-24; Hill, 1992c, 173-174, Figs. 1A-M; Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999, p. 250, pl. 116e.

**Basionym**: *Cryptomonas acuta* Butcher 1952, p. 188, pl. 2 Figs. 51-53; Novarino, 1991b, p. 602, Figs. 1, 2; Novarino et al., 1997, p. 1094, Fig. 13. Non *Cryptomonas acuta* sensu Chang, 1983, Fig. 7A.

**Known geographical distribution**: Mediterranean Sea: Sea of Alboran, port of Barcelona; Northern Atlantic: River Crouch and Conway, UK (Butcher, 1952), Baltic Sea (Hill, 1992c), Southern North Sea (Novarino et al., 1997), St. Lawrence estuary and gulf (Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999); Victoria, Australia (Hill, 1991a).

Cells of *Teleaulax acuta* from the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 11) are about 9-16 µm long and 3.5-5 µm thick. There are two equal flagella about 1/4 to 1/2 the cell length (Figs. 11A, B). The flagella are inserted at the upper end of a ventral furrow (Fig. 11B) which extends to about 1/2 - 2/3 the cell length (Figs. 11B, C). Owing to their characteristically acute posterior end (Figs. 11B, C), the cells superficially resemble *Plagioselmis*. However, *T. acuta* can be identified unequivocally thanks to its sheet-like insertion ................................. *T. acuta*

- Flagella unequal, with the ventral flagellum distinctly longer than the dorsal one; ventral furrow displaced to the left-hand side of the point of flagellar insertion ........................... *T. amphioxeia*

**Table 3.** – Selected morphological features of *Teleaulax acuta* from various geographical localities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical locality</th>
<th>Cell size (µm, mean values in brackets)</th>
<th>Flagella</th>
<th>Furrow</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River Crouch and Conway, U.K.</td>
<td>12-15 x 4-6 x 5-7</td>
<td>subequal, 12-15 µm long</td>
<td>“dies out almost at the base”</td>
<td>Butcher, 1952 (original description of <em>Cryptomonas acuta</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern North Sea</td>
<td>up to 14 (ca. 8) x 3</td>
<td>subequal, about cell length</td>
<td>extends to 1/2 - 2/3 cell length</td>
<td>Novarino, 1991b, Novarino et al., 1997 (as <em>Cryptomonas acuta</em>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria, Australia</td>
<td>ca. 11 x 6.5 (from SEM micrograph, Fig. 17)</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>“runs almost the length of the cells” (p. 177); about 2/3 cell length (Fig. 17)</td>
<td>Hill, 1991a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baltic Sea</td>
<td>12-18 x 5-8</td>
<td>“subequal, slightly shorter than the cell”</td>
<td>“extends almost to the posterior”</td>
<td>Hill, 1992c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Lawrence estuary and gulf</td>
<td>11-18 (15) x 4.8-8.8 (6.6)</td>
<td>12-22 (15) µm long</td>
<td>extends to 3/4 cell length</td>
<td>Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Sea</td>
<td>9-16 (12) x 3.5-5 (4.25)</td>
<td>equal, 1/4 to 1/2 cell length</td>
<td>extends to 1/2 - 2/3 cell length</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
periplast covering the entire cell surface, including the posterior end (Fig. 11B).

Teleaulax acuta was found in the Sea of Alboran station C at a depth of 50 m, i.e. below the deep chlorophyll maximum, which was located at 44 m. It also occurred frequently in several time series samples from the port of Barcelona.

Teleaulax acuta has been reported from several geographical localities. The reported populations are not morphologically homogeneous (Table 3), and therefore this apparently wide geographical distribution could conceal a number of distinct species. It is unknown whether or not these geographical populations are also genetically or physiologically distinct from one another.

Teleaulax amphioxeia (Conrad) Hill (ICBN, ICZN) (Figs. 12, 14D)

Hill, 1992d, pp. 175-176, Figs. 1A-N; Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999, p. 251, pl. 116f-h, pl. 117a, b.

Basionym: Rhodomonas amphioxeia Conrad, 1939, p. 4, Figs. 3-6.

Known geographical distribution: Mediterranean Sea: Sea of Alboran; Northern Atlantic: Baltic Sea (Hill, 1992d), St. Lawrence estuary and gulf (Bérard-Therriault et al., 1999).

Cells of Teleaulax amphioxeia from the Mediterranean Sea (Figs. 12, 14D) are about 7-14 μm long and 4-7 μm thick. The anterior end of the cells is distinctly rostrate while the posterior end is acute (Fig. 11A). There are two unequal flagella. The longer one is the ventral flagellum and it is about 1 to 1.5 times the cell length (Fig. 11A). The flagella are inserted in a subapical position, and to the left-hand side of the point of flagellar insertion there is a broad ventral furrow extending to about 2/3 the cell length (Figs. 11B, C, 14C). There is a non-plated (sheet-like) periplast over the entire cell surface (Fig. 12C).

Teleaulax amphioxeia bears a general resemblance to Teleaulax acuta but it can be recognised unequivocally thanks to its ventral flagellum, which is characteristically longer than the dorsal one. In addition, unlike T. acuta the furrow of T. amphioxeia is displaced towards the left-hand side of the point of flagellar insertion. Analogous to T. acuta, the acute posterior end of T. amphioxeia superficially recalls that of Plagioselmis. However, the pres-
ence of a sheet-like periplast over the entire cell surface of *T. amphioxeia* makes it possible to tell it apart unequivocally from *Plagioselmis*.

*Teleaulax amphioxeia* was found in the Sea of Alboran at stations B, C and CN (Almeria-Oran front) at depths between 25 and 40 m, i.e. above the deep chlorophyll maxima, which were located between 30 and 44 m.

**Note on taxonomy and nomenclature.** The combination *Teleaulax amphioxeia* (Conrad) Hill is based on *Rhodomonas amphioxeia* Conrad (1939). Hill (1992d) examined specimens from the Baltic Sea using light and electron microscopy, which showed that the main distinctive feature of this species compared to other species of *Teleaulax* is given by the presence of unequal flagella, of which the longer one is the ventral flagellum (Hill, 1992d, p. 176). Clearly, from a taxonomic viewpoint the specimens examined by Hill (1992d) and the Mediterranean ones are all conspecific. However, Conrad’s original description of *Rhodomonas amphioxeia* makes no mention of the main distinctive feature of the *Teleaulax amphioxeia* of Hill (1992d). Instead, it specifies that *Rhodomonas amphioxeia* has equal flagella (“les fouets sont égaux”, Conrad, 1939, p. 4), as shown also by the illustrations (Conrad, 1939, Figs. 3-6). Therefore, there is little evidence to suggest that the species *Rhodomonas amphioxeia* (in the original sense of Conrad) is one and the same as the cryptomonad which Hill referred to as *Teleaulax amphioxeia*, and the cryptomonad studied by Hill (1992d) appears to be a hitherto undescribed species from a formal taxonomic point of view. Thus, a full taxonomic protologue is desirable for it.

**Genus Chroomonas** Hansgirg (sensu auctorum)

_Vide_ Hill, 1991b, pp. 135-137.

**Chroomonas sp.** (Fig. 13A)

**Known geographical distribution:** So far known only from the Sea of Alboran.

Cells of *Chroomonas* sp. from the Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 13A) are about 4-6 μm long and 2-3 μm thick. They are elliptical in shape with a slightly narrowed posterior end. There are two equal or subequal flagella about 2/3 the cell length, of which the dorsal one carries the usual double row of hairs (Fig. 13A) and the ventral one a single row. The flagella are inserted in an apical position, and analogous to all known members of this genus a ventral furrow is absent. The periplast is composed of approximately rectangular plates (Fig. 13A), about 0.7-0.8 x 0.4-0.5 μm in size in the middle region of the cell and slightly smaller in the posterior region. The periplast band-like structure found in some species of this genus in the posterior region of the cell (“raphe”: Hill, 1991b, Fig. 12) appears to be absent.

The examined specimens resemble *Chroomonas placoides* Butcher ex Novarino et Lucas owing to the cell size and the size of the periplast plates (Novarino and Lucas, 1993b). However, *C. placoides* has a different cell shape and the flagella are unequal, with the longer (dorsal) flagellum between 3/4 and 3/2 the cell length. The Mediterranean specimens differ also from other known members of this genus owing to the size of the periplast plates (see Meyer and Pienaar, 1984; Hill, 1991b; Novarino and Lucas, 1993b; Kristiansen and Kristiansen, 1999), and therefore it is possible that they belong to a hitherto undescribed species. However, for the time being the establishment of a new species is best avoided owing to the relative scarcity of specimens in the examined samples, and general typification questions within the genus (see below).

*Chroomonas* sp. was found in the Sea of Alboran at stations A, B and C at depths between 45 and 80 m, i.e. at or below the deep chlorophyll maxima, which were located between 35 and 45 m.

**Note on generic-level typification and taxonomy.** The original description of the genus *Chroomonas* was based necessarily on light microscopy only (Hansgirg, 1885). Since then, numerous studies have provided this genus with a well-defined and generally agreed ultrastructural identity (for a summary see Hill, 1991b). However, an examination of Hansgirg’s original type material with the SEM has shown that the type species of *Chroomonas* has a periplast with hexagonal plates (Novarino and Oliva, 1997; Novarino, 2003). This contrasts with the accepted view that the genus is characterised by rectangular periplast plates. Therefore, based on the systematic importance which is currently attached to periplast features, there is a need for an emended generic description of *Chroomonas* and this is in preparation. It is also likely that rectangular-plated cells of “*Chroomonas*” (including the Mediterranean ones examined here) will have to be assigned
to a new genus to be described. However, because a formal generic description is not yet available we assign the Mediterranean specimens to *Chroomonas* (sensu auctorum) for the time being.

**Genus Rhinomonas** Hill (ICBN, ICZN)


*Rhinomonas reticulata* (Lucas) Novarino (ICBN, ICZN) (Fig. 13B)

Novarino, 1991a, p. 244, Figs. 1-6

Basionym: *Cryptomonas reticulata* Lucas, 1968, *Brit. Phycol. Bull.* 3, p. 535, Fig. 1B (holotype), Figs. 6, 7 (paratypes).

**Known geographical distribution:** Mediterranean Sea: Sea of Alboran; Northern Atlantic: numerous culture strains assigned to *R. reticulata* were originally isolated from Northern Atlantic waters (Novarino, 1991a).

Cells of *Rhinomonas reticulata* from the Mediterranean (Fig. 13B) are about 6-8 µm long and 3-4 µm thick. There are two equal or subequal flagella about 2/3 the cell length, arising from a circular vestibular opening located close to cell apex. There is no ventral furrow, and any short, notch-like “furrows” on the ventral margin of the vestibular opening are most likely to be shrinkage artefacts arising during specimen preparation for SEM (Novarino, 1991b). *Rhinomonas reticulata* is also susceptible to other shrinkage artefacts, particularly...
folds and cracks on the dorsal face (Fig. 13A). The periplast is composed of typical hexagonal plates about 0.4-0.5 µm in size.

The morphology of *Rhinomonas reticulata* is highly variable, particularly the size of the periplast plates (Novarino, 1991a). In the Mediterranean specimens the cell size is close to the lower end of the range, while the size of the periplast plates lies at the upper variability limits reported so far (Novarino, 1991a).

*Rhinomonas reticulata* was found in the Sea of Alboran station CN at 33 m depth, i.e. at the deep chlorophyll maximum, which was located at 33 m.

**CONCLUSIONS**

During this investigation eleven cryptomonads were identified but the true number of species present could be higher if seasonal variations in species composition were to occur, as is the case in other geographical areas (e.g. Bérard-Therriault *et al.*, 1999). However, such variations could not be investigated here owing to the limited availability of suitable cruises.

Taxonomic identification was based on SEM. Although this observation method is now used more frequently during nanoplankton ecological surveys (Kuylenstierna and Karlson, 1994; Novarino *et al.*, 1997; Bérard-Therriault *et al.*, 1999; Barlow and Kugrens, 2002), it is still uncommon as a routine identification tool. The SEM appears to be essential for identifying cryptomonads because these flagellates show few taxonomically significant features under the light microscope. Although this survey was based on a high-end field-emission SEM, conventional medium-range instruments also make it possible to identify cryptomonads down to the genus or species levels (Novarino, 1991a, 1991b; Novarino and Lucas, 1993b; Novarino *et al.*, 1994, 1997).

Cell surface features (sheet-like versus plated periplast, shape and size of the periplast plates when plates are present, presence or absence of a true, non-artefactual ventral furrow), and flagellar features (absolute and relative length, insertion point) were particularly useful for identifying the Mediterranean specimens. This agrees well with the general systematic importance attached to those characters (Novarino and Lucas, 1993a; Clay *et al.*, 1999), and gives further support to the view that the inclusion of SEM observations in cryptomonad diversity studies may yield significant results (Novarino, 2003).

All of the eleven species found are unequivocal new records for the Mediterranean Sea. Five of them (over 45%) have been found or further characterised here for the first time: *Cryptochloris* sp., *Hemiselmis* sp. inedit., *Hemiselmis* sp. 1, *Plagioselmis* sp. inedit. and an undetermined species of *Chroomonas*
sensu auctorum. If this percentage is equal to the proportion of hitherto undescribed species within the cryptomonads as a whole, then it could be speculated that the total number of existing species is in the region of 290 (200 known species x 1.45), or 300 in round figures. This estimate is much more conservative than one already available in the literature (about 1200). Unfortunately it is impossible to compare these estimates because the basis for the higher figure (Andersen, 1992) is unknown, as is the proportion of species names which are synonymous with previously published ones. Nonetheless, for the time being it is best to consider the cryptomonads at least as a moderately species-rich group. Further baseline investigations are necessary in order to gain insight into the true extent of species diversity. It can be speculated that other unexplored geographical areas, including perhaps other areas of the Mediterranean Sea, could also harbour previously unknown cryptomonads. Explorations of entirely new habitats could also prove fruitful, as has been the case with the submarine ikaite columns examined by Kristiansen and Kristiansen (1999).

Seven species found during this survey (Cryptochloris sp., Hemiselmis sp. 2, Plagioselmis prolonga, Plagioselmis nordica, Rhinomonas reticulata, Teleaulax acuta, Teleaulax amphioxea) are known also from other geographical regions. Although some caution is necessary when comparing electron microscopical reports with traditional reports based on light microscopy, it is still possible that some or all of those species may be cosmopolitan. However, this assumption is based exclusively on a morphological species concept and no information is available on the occurrence of genetic or physiological differentiation on a geographical basis. To date, genetic differentiation has been studied only in a small number of marine nanoplanckton protists, e.g. haptophytes (Barker et al., 1994) and dinoflagellates (Montresor et al., 2003). In that respect it would be particularly useful to investigate Rhinomonas reticulata and Teleaulax acuta because the various geographical populations of these species are morphologically variable and it is unknown whether or not morphological variability is paralleled by genetic variability.

So far Chroomonas sp., Hemiselmis sp. 1, Hemiselmis sp. inedit. and Plagioselmis sp. inedit. are known only from the Mediterranean but any comments on their possible endemic status are premature. Nonetheless, during future investigations the possible occurrence of endemic plankton protists in the Mediterranean should always be borne in mind because this area is known to have a higher species diversity as far as the phytoplankton is concerned (Margalef, 1994).

Plagioselmis prolonga was the most widespread and abundant cryptomonad in the examined samples, but in spite of its abundance no cell counts of this species were carried out. Traditional (Utermöhl) counts would have been unreliable because under the light microscope P. prolonga can be easily mistaken for other species of Plagioselmis, Teleaulax acuta, or Leucocryptos marina (Braarud) Butcher, as illustrated in a forthcoming study. Furthermore, any SEM-based counts carried out as described elsewhere (Novarino et al., 2002) would have been unrepresentative because the samples examined here were fixed according to different procedures. Nonetheless, it is still likely that the maximum population densities reached by P. prolonga in the south-western Mediterranean are high, but in spite of this the total biovolume reached by this or any other cryptomonad of comparable size is very likely to be lower than that of "traditional" primary producers in the microplankton size range (e.g. the large diatoms). However, marine planktonic cryptomonads may have very high intracellular concentrations of chemical constituents (including carbon) compared to microplankton diatoms (Moal et al., 1987). If this were proven to be the case also with Plagioselmis prolonga, then I would hypothesise that this relatively little-known cryptomonad is a key primary producer of carbon in the Mediterranean pelagic ecosystem. Most likely, future ecological work on P. prolonga—dealing particularly with its quantitative occurrence and spatio-temporal variability, photosynthetic characteristics, chemical composition and contribution to primary carbon production in the water column—will give valuable insight into the functioning of the pelagic food web in the Mediterranean Sea and elsewhere.
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