Dwellers in dens on sandy bottoms:
Ecological and behavioural traits of *Octopus vulgaris*
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Summary: Four visual censuses targeting *Octopus vulgaris* living in dens on sandy bottoms were carried out from June to October 2013 in the National Park of the Atlantic Galician Islands (NW Spain). Censuses were undertaken by scuba diving between 5 and 21 m depth in daytime. The total area swept was 13.75 ha. There were no significant differences between octopus presence in dens during open and closed fishing seasons. Depth had a significant negative relationship with occupancy. The average number of dens per 1000 m² was 3.84±0.84 in June and 3.89 in October. The area per den was 260 m². Den numbers varied between 1586 and 2057. The largest number of dens (76.5%) was found between 5 and 10 m depth. Den distribution was clumped. No significant differences were found between octopus size classes (small, medium and large) and den diameter. Associate dens were observed. There were no significant differences in den diameter and shell types found around the middens. Many dens could be “permanent”. Drilling bivalve shell behaviour is discussed. The surveyed area had around 1100 individuals, mainly small specimens. No significant differences were found between octopus size and depth. Substrate, den type and food abundance and availability (especially razors *Ensis arcuatus*) seem to be the main factors influencing dens and octopus density and distribution. Den availability does not appear to be a limiting factor in this case. Temperature, den availability, predators and fishing pressure influencing density and distribution are discussed. Rodas inlet may be a preferential habitat for *O. vulgaris* individuals ranging from 200 to 2000 g, but especially small specimens (≤1000 g).
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Moradores de guaridas de fondos arenosos: rasgos ecológicos y de comportamiento de *Octopus vulgaris*

Resumen: Entre junio y octubre de 2013 se realizaron cuatro censos visuales enfocados a estudiar el pulpo común *Octopus vulgaris* que mora en guaridas en fondos arenosos. Los censos se realizaron en la ensenada de Rodas, ubicada en el archipiélago de Cíes dentro del Parque Nacional de las Islas Atlánticas de Galicia (NO España). Dichos censos se efectuaron con buCEO autónomo entre 5 y 21 m de profundidad y durante el día. El área total barrida fue de 13.75 ha. No se encontraron diferencias significativas entre la presencia de pulpos en las guaridas durante la temporada de vida y la de pesca. La profundidad tuvo una significación negativa en relación con el grado de ocupación. El promedio de guaridas por cada 1000 m² fue de 3.84±0.84 en junio y 3.89 en octubre. El área por guarida fue de 260 m². El número estimado de guaridas varió entre 1586 y 2057. El mayor número de madrigueras (76.3 %) se encontró entre 5 y 10 m de profundidad. La distribución de las guaridas era agregada. No se hallaron diferencias significativas entre el diámetro de los refugios y el tamaño de los pulpos. Tampoco hubo diferencias significativas entre el diámetro de las guaridas y los tipos de conchas encontradas alrededor. Se observaron guaridas asociadas. Algunas podrían ser utilizadas por varias generaciones: “guaridas permanentes”. Se discute el comportamiento de perforación de las conchas de moluscos bivalvos por parte de *O. vulgaris*. El área muestreada tenía alrededor de 1.100 individuos, principalmente pulpos pequeños. No se hallaron diferencias significativas entre el tamaño de los pulpos y la profundidad. El sustrato, el tipo de guarida y la abundancia y asequibilidad de alimento (*Ensis arcuatus* especialmente) parecen ser los factores más influyentes en la densidad y distribución de los pulpos y sus guaridas. La disponibilidad de guaridas no fue un factor limitante en este caso. Se discute la posible influencia de otros factores, como la temperatura del agua, depredadores, la disponibilidad de guaridas y la presión pesquera sobre la densidad y distribución de los pulpos. La ensenada de Rodas parece ser un hábitat preferencial para *O. vulgaris* de tamaños comprendidos entre 200 y 2000 g, pero sobre todo de ejemplares pequeños (≤1000 g).

Palabras clave: ecología de guaridas; censos visuales; selección de hábitat; comportamiento de perforación; *Octopus vulgaris*; Parque Nacional de las Islas Atlánticas de Galicia (NO España).

INTRODUCTION

The common octopus, *Octopus vulgaris* Cuvier 1797, is an economically important resource throughout the Iberian Peninsula. Official average landings in Galicia (NW Spain) for the period 2010-2013 were 2984 t (www.pescadegalicia.com). Octopus vulgaris is also the species most fished in the National Park of the Atlantic Islands of Galicia (NPAIG) (Guerra and Nixon 1987).

To avoid predation and competition, many octopuses select shelters where they remain most of the time, particularly during daylight (Mather 1988). In consequence, the characteristics of the bottom have been thought to be the main factor influencing their density and distribution patterns (Aronson 1991, Hanlon and Messenger 1996, Leite et al. 2009). Information about relative abundance, distribution pattern and biomass fluctuations in time and space are critical for understanding the ecology of any species (Kaiser et al. 2011) and for fishery management (Cochrane and García 2009).

A number of physical and biotic factors may influence octopus spatial distribution and density of natural populations. Den availability and preference for edges were crucial in tropical reefs (Aronson 1986; Anderson 1997). Allocation of shells in which the octopus hid was found to be a key factor explaining the *Octopus joubini*’s aggregate distribution in a subtropical ecosystem (Mather 1982). Substrate, kelp cover and shallow waters had a positive correlation for *Enteroctopus dofleini* (Scheel 2002). Den availability was also a limiting characteristic for octopus distribution (Altman 1967, Hartwick and Thorarinsson 1978, Mather 1982, Hartwick et al. 1983). Octopuses may exercise substantial choice in habitat and shelter, and often modify shelters to their satisfaction (Mather 1994). This home choice was documented for *O. vulgaris* living on soft bottoms in the Mediterranean Sea (Katsanevakis and Verriopolus 2004 a,b).

Other factors than habitat, such as seasonality (Hartwick et al. 1984, Iribarne 1991), reproduction (Iribarne, 1990), depth and sea water temperature (Katsanevakis and Verriopolus 2004a), and predator pressure and reproductive demand (Aronson 1986, Leite 2007, Huffard et al. 2008) were also essential, whereas prey availability was not essential to *O. joubini* and *E. dofleini* (Mather 1982, Scheel et al. 2007).

Some of these ecological and behavioural aspects of *O. vulgaris* were considered in the central-east Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea from fishery databases and fishing surveys (Guerra 1981, Sánchez and Obarti 1993, Quegglas et al. 1998, Belcari et al. 2002, Tsangridis et al. 2002), and Moreno et al. (2014) found the essential habitats for pre-recruitment along the Portuguese coast. However, there are many features that cannot be investigated through fishing surveys requiring direct observations in the field (Mather 1991, Hanlon et al. 2008, Katsanevakis and Verriopolus 2004b). Moreover, the study of interactions of individuals and the use that mobile and intelligent benthic octopuses make of the natural environment can be drastically altered by the restrictions imposed by captivity conditions (Mather 1982).

The dynamics of habitat selection in octopus are complex and likely important to fisheries and marine communities (Mather 1993). Despite the commercial importance of *O. vulgaris*, studies on its distribution patterns, density and den ecology are practically nonexistent in the northeast Atlantic.

In order to understand some ecological and behavioural traits of *O. vulgaris* living in dens on soft bottoms of Rodas inlet (Cíes archipelago within the National Park) visual censuses were carried out. The site was selected because it has an unusual abundance of octopuses, which are intensely fished, and because it has a high abundance of dens (cefarparques.blogspot.com). Direct visual observation was chosen because it had already been used as an important tool for the study of these aspects in octopods (e.g. Mather 1991; Oosthuizen and Smale 2003; Hanlon et al. 2008; Leite et al. 2009), and because its impact is not harmful to the species and the environment. The main objectives of this work were: 1) to estimate density of *O. vulgaris* and 2) to test whether it has a non-random distribution, related mainly to substrate and body size.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The Cíes archipelago is situated within the NPAIG, which is in the mouth of the Ría de Vigo (Fig. 1). The
area of the marine realm of the Cíes is 2658 ha. Observations were carried out in the Rodas inlet, located on the inner side between the northern and central islands of the Cíes archipelago (Fig. 1). The sandy bottom of this inlet is between 5 and 21 m deep and has an area of 30 ha.

The NPAIG is located on the northern boundary of the Iberian upwelling system. Coastal winds at these latitudes (42 to 44°N) are seasonal; northerly winds prevail from March-April to September-October, promoting coastal upwelling, and southerly winds predominate the rest of the year. However, more than 70% of the total variability in coastal winds occurs in periods of less than one month, so the upwelling season appears as a succession of wind-stress episodes separated by calm episodes, with a frequency of 10 to 20 days (Álvarez-Salgado et al. 2003), similar to other coastal upwelling systems at comparable latitudes (Hill et al. 1998).

Visual censuses

Three visual censuses (VC 1-3) were conducted in June 2013 in the north, centre and south of Rodas inlet, when the O. vulgaris fishery was closed (from...
17 May to 1 July). A fourth one (VC 4) was carried out in October 2013 in the central zone of Rodas inlet after the opening of the fishing octopus season. The visual censuses were made in daytime. The start and end points of each visual census were established by GPS, and then marked with buoys at the surface. A guide rope between the two points was deployed. Depth varied from 5 to 21 m (Table 1). Four divers worked simultaneously in each census and they were spread laterally at a fixed distance along a rope. The following data were recorded:

i) Depth and sea bottom temperature, recorded with a diving computer.

ii) Salinity recorded with conductivity, temperature, and depth (CTD) sensors.

iii) Presence/absence of specimens in the den.

iv) Octopus size class according to the following scale: size 1 (small), <1000 g total body weight (BW); size 2 (medium), 1001-2000 g BW; size 3 (large), >2001 g BW.

v) Species present in the den.

vi) Den diameter.

vii) Type of den: a) hole, when the animal digs a vertical hole in the soft sediment and reinforces the inner part with shells and other solid materials (Fig. 2), generally bringing solid materials around the rim of the hole; b) stone, when the octopus uses a stone and digs a hole underneath; c) empty shell and/or crab carapace; and d) human origin.

viii) Observations: isolated or joined dens, presence of scavengers.

The *O. vulgaris* size classes were picked for three reasons: i) the experienced professional divers were able to categorize an octopus as belonging to one of the three size classes; ii) the legal size in the Galician fishing grounds for this species is 1000 g, and iii) octopuses are split into medium and large size classes for the auction at the fishing market (Xunta de Galicia 2006, DOGA 2012).

A random sample of the objects found around the octopus shelter was collected in six dens. A Sony video-camera HDR-cx700 recorded every visual census.

In order to test whether or not the bivalve shells in dens had drilled holes, an additional survey (AS) was undertaken by two divers at the Viños islet (Fig. 1) on November 14, 2013. All shells present in four dens of octopuses with a 500 g BW were collected in this survey. They were measured and examined in the laboratory.

### Statistics

Due to the non-normality of the data, a Kruskal-Wallis test (Zar 2010) was applied in order to check differences in den diameter and depth of the dens in relation to the shell types of the species used to build the dens; and diameter and depth of the dens in relation to the size of the octopuses found.

A Generalized Linear Model (McCullagh-Nelder 1989) was fitted with presence/absence of octopus in the den as the response variable to study the den occupancy. Fishing season (open/closed) and depth were used as discrete and continuous covariates, respectively. Likelihood ratio tests, based on the deviance, were applied to test the effect of each covariate in the model.

To ascertain whether the distribution of the octopus dens in the sample areas was random, we employed the method of Morisita (1959), using densities estimated from the four visual censuses.

### RESULTS

The total area swept in the visual censuses was 13.735 ha (Table 1). The surveyed area during the closed and open fishing seasons was 5150 m² and 2850 m², respectively. The mean rate of occupancy during the octopus closed season in June (VC 1-3) was 85.6% (range 78.57%-90.91%), whereas it was 70.0% when the creel fishery targeting octopus was open in October (VC 4). The presence of *O. vulgaris* in the dens was not significantly different (p-value=0.692) between the samples taken during the closed and open fishing seasons. However, depth showed a significant negative relationship with occupancy (Fig. 3). The value obtained for the Morisita method (I<sub>M</sub> =1.77) indicated that animals occupying dens (Table 1) were not randomly dispersed but aggregated.

The mean number and the standard deviation of dens per 1000 m² was 3.84±0.84 in June, whereas it was 3.89 in October (Table 1). Consequently, there was a den each 260 m² (range 208-303). Den number estimation in the area surveyed varied between 1586 and 2050. Considering the mean den occupancy (ARDO=85.7%; Table 1), the number of specimens in the Rodas inlet (5 to 21 m depth) would be 1015, which represents 3.38 individuals per 1000 m².

The total number of dens found was 53 (Table 2). The largest number of dens (76.5%) was found in October 2013 in the central zone of Rodas inlet. VC, visual census number; DR, depth range (m); ARDO, average rate of occupancy (%); DDA, den diameter average (cm); MSBT, mean sea bottom temperature (ºC); SD, standard deviation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VC</th>
<th>DR</th>
<th>ARDO</th>
<th>DDA±SD</th>
<th>MSBT±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>5.0-10.0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>19.4±8.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0-15.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>14.83±6.24</td>
<td>14.21±0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.0-15.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>16.83±5.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0-21.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17.6±3.51</td>
<td>16.44±0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VC</th>
<th>DR</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>OSC</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1,2,3</td>
<td>5.0-10.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0-15.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.0-15.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.0-21.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>14.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 also shows the mean and standard deviation of the diameters of 33 dens found in VCs 1-3 for June by depth range and occupancy rate by depth. The same data are given to 20 dens from VC 4. No significant differences were found for octopus size in relation to den diameter (p=0.129) within the three size classes.

Associated dens were observed: two were coupled, one was composed of two crevices, and the third was composed of three holes. Scavengers (serpent and sea stars, sea urchins and nettle dog whelks) were found in all dens found at the deeper depth range (15-21 m).

Table 3 indicates the size classes in total number and percentage of octopuses by depth range. Forty-two individuals were found in total: 66.7% between 5 and 10 m depth, 28.6% from 10 to 15 m, and 4.7% between 15 and 21 m. Small octopuses (Size class 1) were found at all surveyed depths; 52% between 5 and 10 m, 40% between 10 and 15 m and 8% in deeper waters. Medium octopuses were only present in shallower waters, while large individuals were found from 5 to 15 m depth, being more abundant (35.7% of the total) in the shallowest depth range. No significant differences were found for octopus size in relation to depth (p=0.146).

Table 4 summarizes the most abundant bivalve species found in the dens during VCs 1-4. None of the bivalve shells examined was drilled. There were no significant differences in den diameter for the different shell types found (p=0.494). By contrast, in relation to the depth variable, significant differences were observed between the shell types (p<0.001), showing
dens with Ensis arcuatus and Glycimeris glycimeris at lower depth.

Four characteristics of 55% of the observed dens in the soft bottom of the Rodas inlet suggested that these constructions could be “permanent”, that is, they could be used for several octopus generations. These characteristics were i) holes narrow and deep and their inner walls reinforced with shells; ii) rim of the dens very long-established, showing encrusted shell-boring polychaetes (*Polydora* spp.), bryozoans and honeycomb structures produced by the sponge Cliona celata (Fig. 4); iii) very large and well-built dens occupied by small octopuses; and iv) the rim of dens around three times the diameter of the hole.

**DISCUSSION**

The Rodas inlet has resulted to be a suitable area for *O. vulgaris* ranging from 200 to 2000 g, but especially for small individuals (76 and 73% in VCs 1-3 and VC 4, respectively; Table 3). The Rodas inlet is greatly influenced by a seasonal upwelling and is therefore a highly productive marine realm (Álvarez-Salgado et al. 2002). Species such as *O. vulgaris* may take advantage of these productive ecosystems by living there, as has occurred in other areas of the NW Atlantic Ocean (Moreno et al. 2014). Sea bottom temperature (SBT) and sea bottom salinity (SBS) were the main environmental variables limiting pre-recruit or sub-adult aggregation in the eastern Mediterranean (Katsanevakis and Verriopoulos 2004a), the Mediterranean Sea and the eastern Atlantic (Hermosilla et al. 2011) as well as in Portuguese waters (Moreno et al. 2014). *O. vulgaris* is most often found in water with a SBT warmer than 10°C and cooler than 30°C and a salinity ranging from 32 to 40 (Mangold 1983). Both parameters were within these ranges during the surveys in the Rodas inlet (Table 2).

The number of specimens in the Rodas inlet from 5 to 21 m depth (1015) represents 3.38 individuals per 1000 m². This density is 6.8 times higher than the highest densities estimated by Guerra (1981), Fonseca and Campos (2002), Belcari et al. (2002) and Katsanevakis and Verriopoulos (2004a) in different geographic areas. Moreover, additional observations during these VCs also showed that there were animals dwelling in deeper waters (down to 40 m depth) in the zone. This was also the case in several Mediterranean areas, where the highest abundances of *O. vulgaris* of comparable sizes were found within the depth range of 10-50 m (Belcari et al. 2002). Similarly, octopus densities were higher at depths of 20-40 m than further offshore on the NW African coasts (Faraj and Bez 2007).

*O. vulgaris* densities found in the Rodas inlet were within the range found for *O. insularis* in Fernando de Noronha Archipelago, Brazil. However, they were
nearly three times the highest densities found for *Enteropontus dofleini* in Prince William Sound, Alaska. However, these comparisons should be taken with caution because very different substrates and seasons were considered in the case of *O. insularis* and *E. dofleini*, whereas for *O. vulgaris* these two variables were not considered.

Despite its restrictions, the methodology used in this study pointed to an aggregate distribution of *O. vulgaris*. Our evidence supports the same crumpled distribution found for the species in central-eastern Africa (Guerra 1981), southeastern South Africa (Oosthuizen and Smale 2003), and the eastern Mediterranean (Katsenavakis and Verriopolou 2004a). The individuals need hard structures on soft substrates or penetrable areas in hard substrates. In the Rodas inlet *O. vulgaris* find bivalve shells in abundance, and also relatively hard but penetrable substrates (maërl) among a general scenario mainly made up of open sand of different-sized grains, which could be the key factor explaining the aggregate distribution found. The influence of small but significant differences in the hardness of the substrate is an aspect that should be studied more seriously. A crumpled distribution was also found in *O. joubini* in St Joseph Bay, Florida, which is shallow and has a mixture of open sand and *Thalassa* grass beds. In that case, the factors that may have influenced octopus distribution were the substrate of sand and grass, and shells in which to hide because *O. joubini* does not burrow in sand (Mather 1982). Scheel (2002) observed a positive association between *E. dofleini* density and substrate and shallow waters (up to 5 m depth) in Alaska. Grass beds cannot explain the *O. vulgaris* aggregate distribution found at the Rodas inlet because it is composed exclusively of sandy bottoms and there are no shells in which to hide. In our long experience diving in the Cies archipelago we have only observed this behaviour in juveniles octopus larger than 200 grams.

The den type was also an important factor for *O. insularis*, especially for the smaller ones (Leite et al. 2009). Similarly to *O. insularis*, juveniles of *O. vulgaris* generally occupied holes sunk perpendicular into the substrate, while large-sized specimens excavated dens beneath rocks and ledges. However, this behaviour was not observed in the Rodas inlet, and both juveniles and large octopuses were found in dens of different configuration built away from rocks in open sand substrate or maërl at different depths. What we found were den modifications by octopuses, such as removing sand, shingles and bivalve shells and placing items to block the aperture, as observed by Mather (1994).

We indicate herein, for the first time in *O. vulgaris* in the wild, that some old and well-built dens on a soft bottom of the Rodas inlet can be used successively by several generations of octopuses, which is why we call them “permanent”. Their main characteristics are addressed in the results section. An old study by Hartwick and Thorarinnsson (1978) on *E. dofleini* and a new one by Godfrey-Smith and Lawrence (2012) on *O. tetricus* suggest that this is very likely in a specific type of habitat. Den physical modifications by octopuses during long-term occupation, called “ecosystem engineering” by the last two authors, can be quite impressive, mostly involving handling of shells brought in during foraging. And it can be hypothesized that they could in turn result in higher densities being viable at the site.

The availability of shelters can be also a limiting factor for octopus distribution, as several studies of different species have described home choice and suggested characteristics used in selection of hiding places (Mather 1982, Altman 1967, Katsenavakis and Verriopolou 2004a). However, dens do not appear to be limiting for *E. dofleini* in the eastern Pacific (Hartwick et al. 1984). The failure of octopus size to vary with den size, in contrast with the findings of Mather (1993), does suggest a limitation of den availability to *O. vulgaris* in the Rodas inlet. However, this aspect should be investigated more carefully because four surveys that do not target this specific facet are insufficient.

Environmental factors also influenced octopus density and distribution. A significant relationship of *O. insularis* size and depth was found by Leite et al. (2009). However, this was not the case for *O. vulgaris* in the Rodas inlet.

Temperature can also be a factor influencing octopus density and distribution. Smaller *O. insularis* prefer warmer temperatures than larger ones (Leite et al. 2009), perhaps in order to promote faster growth and thus shorten the period during which they are more vulnerable to predation, as observed by Katsanevakis and Verriopoloups (2004a) in *O. vulgaris* from the Mediterranean. This association between temperature and density and/or distribution was not investigated in the present study. However, estuaries like the Ría de Vigo are complex and very dynamic systems; their physical, chemical and biological properties show a sharp distributional gradient and large temporal variability of the meteorological factors that operate through an increase in the estuarine residual circulation. For this reason, SBT can change quite drastically in short time periods (Nogueira et al. 1997). It does not seem a very efficient strategy to subordinate octopus distribution to a factor (tolerance temperature) that can be very variable from week to week, provided that this factor does not go beyond the tolerance limits of the species, which it does not in any of the oceanographic situations that the ecosystem goes through in an annual cycle (Nogueira et al. 1997).

Another possibility is that predation pressure limits the distribution of very small octopuses (Aronson 1986). The main potential predators of *O. vulgaris* at Cies archipelago are dolphins (Delphinus delphis and *Tursiops truncatus*) (López et al. 2004). Murray eel (*Muraena helena*), conger (*Conger conger*), sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and some shark species (*Rodriguez-Solorzano* et al. 1983). Dolphins visit the inlet very infrequently because a marina for sports boats has been built (López et al. 2004). Murray eel, conger and shark species that can potentially prey upon octopus (*Mustelus mustelus* and/or *Galeorhinus galeus*) are not usually found on the sandy bottoms and shallow waters of the Rodas inlet (Garci and Hernández-Urcera, data not shown.). Adult sea bass in the northeastern Atlantic primarily target small pelagic fish, most notably mackerel (*Scomber scombrus*), scads (*Trachurus*...
The patchy distribution of *O. vulgaris* in the Rodas inlet could be influenced by food. Vincent et al. (1998) suggested that selection of habitats within the intertidal may be influenced by prey abundance in *E. dofleini*. As occurred in other octopus species (Anderson et al. 2008; Leite et al. 2009), *O. vulgaris* is able to prey upon a high variety of prey items (Nixon 1987). However, in some situations the common octopus feeds mostly on bivalve molluscs (Nixon 1987 and Boyle and Rodhouse 2005 for reviews). A similar diet was found in its twin species, *O. minus*, in the northern Pacific (Cortez et al. 1995). This seems to be the case of the Rodas inlet, where bivalves are very abundant and available (Ourens et al. 2010). In addition to our direct observations, this finding is supported by the fact that a commercial fishery for razor fish (*Ensis arcuatus*) carried out by a restricted and low number of divers was developed there recently (64 t per year from 2010 to 2013; www.pescadegalicia.com). Moreover, scallops and clams, which were commercially exploited in specific zones of the inlet several years ago, have significantly declined in recent years (www.pescadegalicia.com). The high abundance of bivalve shells collected in the extended middens of *O. vulgaris* is certainly a clue as to prey species. However, there are several challenges to using the remains of food items outside the dens, as pointed out by Anderson et al. (2008). Bivalve shells can be moved by currents or waves (Mather 1991), or, on the contrary, it is tolerant to a certain degree of crowding. Except *Eledone moschata* (Mather 1985), benthic octopuses are typically known as solitary animals (Guerra 1981, Boal 2006). Despite their solitary habit, octopuses have not been seen defending territories (Altman 1967, Kayes 1974, Mather and O’Dor 1991) and usually the area around a den is not defended (reviewed in Boal 2006). It seems, therefore, that octopuses in their natural environment do not hold territories and seem to be somewhat tolerant of crowding (Mather 1982), but it is equally likely that octopuses are fundamentally asocial except when ready for mating. However, studies by Huffard et al. (2010) on the intertidal octopus *Abdopus aculeatus* suggest that this is not always true. Further studies of social relationships in this species will help researchers to disentangle these possibilities, which again require behavioural evaluations to make behavioural assumptions.

The Rodas inlet must have more than 1000 octopus dens between 5 and 21 m in depth. In consequence, the Rodas inlet, which represents 1.12% of the marine realm of the Cíes archipelago, may be a preferential habitat for *O. vulgaris* individuals ranging between 200 and 2000 g, but especially for small individuals.
This is the first evidence of preferential habitats for *Octopus vulgaris* in the wild and furthers the understanding of its population dynamics within the NPAIG. This study, together with another study in preparation on where mating and brooding take place, will contribute to an effective management of the species.

Although none-invasive methods, namely visual censuses, are expensive and very time-consuming, they are ideal for enhancing the knowledge of this type.
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